arXiv:math/9806095v1 [math.SP] 18 Jun 1998

A CLASS OF PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH OSCILLATING SYMBOLS

D.Yafaev
Université de Rennes

Abstract

We study a class of pseudo-differential operators with oscillating symbols or oscillating amplitudes appearing in the long-range scattering theory. We develop the basic calculus for operators from such classes and solve some concrete problems posed by applications to scattering theory, especially to the scattering matrix. In particular, we show that under natural assumptions the spectrum of a pseudo-differential operator with an oscillating symbol covers the unit circle.

Contents

1. Introduction
2. The basic calculus
3. The action on an exponent
4. The essential spectrum
5. Integral kernels

1. INTRODUCTION

Pseudo-differential operators (PDO) A,

$$(Au)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i<x,\xi>} a(x,\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi, \tag{1.1}$$

or, more generally,

$$(Au)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i<x-x',\xi>} \mathbf{a}(x,x',\xi) u(x') dx' d\xi \tag{1.2}$$

are well-defined as mappings $A : C_0^\infty(X) \to C^\infty(X)$ for symbols a or amplitudes $\mathbf{a}$ from the Hörmander classes $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}$ or $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ for arbitrary $\rho > 0$ and $\delta < 1$. Here $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open set, $\hat{u}$ is the Fourier transform of a function $u \in C_0^\infty(X)$ and the definition of the classes $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}$ and $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ is recalled in subsection 2.1. A crucial advantage of the PDO theory is that rather an advanced calculus can be developed (see [5], [8]) in its framework. For example, one obtains formulas for symbols of the adjoint operator A^*, of the product

$A_1 A_2$ of two PDO, finds a relation between an amplitude and the corresponding symbol, checks the invariance of the theory with respect to change of variables and so on. Such an advanced calculus can be conveniently developed in the classes $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}$ and $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ which, however, requires the assumption $\rho > 1/2 > \delta$.

Our aim is to study a class of pseudo-differential operators with oscillating symbols or oscillating amplitudes appearing (see [9]) in the long-range scattering theory. More precisely, we consider PDO (1.1) and (1.2), where

$$a(x,\xi) = e^{i\Phi(x,\xi)} b(x,\xi), \quad \Phi \in \mathcal{S}^r, \quad b \in \mathcal{S}^m, \quad r \in [0,1), \tag{1.3}$$

or

$$\mathbf{a}(x,x',\xi) = e^{i\Theta(x,x',\xi)} \mathbf{b}(x,x',\xi), \quad \Theta \in \mathcal{S}^r, \quad \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}^m, \quad r \in [0,1), \tag{1.4}$$

and $\mathcal{S}^m = \mathcal{S}^m_{1,0}$ or $\mathcal{S}^m = \mathcal{S}^m_{1,0,0}$. Let us denote the classes of symbols (1.3) and amplitudes (1.4) by $\mathcal{C}^m(\Phi)$ and $\mathcal{C}^m(\Theta)$, respectively. Sometimes we use the same notation $\mathcal{C}^m(\Phi)$, $\mathcal{C}^m(\Theta)$, $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}$ and $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ for PDO (1.1) and (1.2) with symbols and amplitudes from the corresponding classes. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{C}^m(\Phi) \subset \mathcal{S}^m_{1-r,r}, \quad \mathcal{C}^m(\Theta) \subset \mathcal{S}^m_{1-r,r,r}$$

so that (1.3) and (1.4) are "good" classes if $r < 1/2$. On the other hand, the standard calculus fails for operators from these classes if $r \geq 1/2$. In this paper we consider several concrete problems for PDO from classes $\mathcal{C}^m(\Phi)$ and $\mathcal{C}^m(\Theta)$ posed by the long-range scattering theory.

Of course, standard formulas of the PDO calculus for the adjoint A^* or for the product $A_1 A_2$ fail in the class $\mathcal{C}^m(\Phi)$ if $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^r$ with $r \in [1/2, 1)$. Fortunately, in applications to scattering theory only the combinations $A_1 A_2^*$ and $A_2^* A_1$ appear. In Section 2 we show that

$$\text{if } A_j \in \mathcal{C}^{m_j}(\Phi),\ j=1,2, \quad \text{then } A_1 A_2^* \in \mathcal{S}^m,\ A_2^* A_1 \in \mathcal{S}^m, \text{ where } m = m_1 + m_2. \tag{1.5}$$

We justify also usual expansions for symbols of the operators $A_1 A_2^*$ and $A_2^* A_1$; in particular, their principal symbols are equal $b_1 \overline{b_2}$. Note that inclusions (1.5) were checked by a different method in [6] but, to best of our knowledge, asymptotic expansions for symbols of $A_1 A_2^*$ and $A_2^* A_1$ are new.

Each of the inclusions (1.5) imply that a PDO A with compactly supported symbol $a \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Phi)$ is bounded in the space $L_2(X)$. In the case $r \leq 1/2$ this follows from results of [3] and [2] for PDO from classes $\mathcal{S}^0_{\rho,\delta}$ with $\rho \geq \delta$ but, if $r > 1/2$, then these general results can no longer be applied.

We show also that a PDO A defined by its amplitude $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}^m(\Theta)$ admits representation (1.1) and find an expression for the symbol a of this PDO in terms of the amplitude $\mathbf{a}$. It is different from the familiar expression in the case $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ with $\rho > \delta$; in particular, the "principal" symbol of A does not coincide with $\mathbf{a}(x,x,\xi)$. On the other hand, if $\Theta(x,x,\xi) = 0$, then PDO (1.2) with amplitude (1.4) has symbol $a \in \mathcal{S}^m$; moreover, a admits the usual expansion in terms of the amplitude $\mathbf{a}$.

In Section 3 we calculate the action of a PDO A on an exponent $u_\lambda(x) = e^{i\lambda\psi(x)} f(x)$, where $f \in C_0^\infty(X)$ and $\lambda \to \infty$. This result is used in the following section for construction of Weyl (singular) sequences. In view of this application to the spectral theory, we have to study the case when f depends on an additional parameter ε and supports of functions f_ε are shrinking to some point $x_0 \in X$; moreover, the function ψ may depend on λ. It suffices for us to find only the leading term of $Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$ and give an estimate of the rest in the space L_2. Our calculation goes through if the localization in x is not too sharp compared to λ^{-1}. More precisely, we show in Section 3 that if

$$u_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x) = e^{i\lambda\psi(x,\lambda)} f_\varepsilon(x) \tag{1.6}$$

and $f_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-d/2} f((x - x_0)/\varepsilon)$, then

$$(Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon})(x) = e^{iG(x,\lambda)} b(x, \lambda\psi'(x, \lambda)) u_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x) + o(1), \tag{1.7}$$

where the function $G(x, \lambda)$ is determined by Φ and ψ and $o(1)$ tends to zero in L_2 as $\lambda \to \infty$ and $\lambda^{1-r}\varepsilon \to \infty$.

In Section 4 we study the essential spectrum of a PDO $A \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Phi)$ in the space $L_2(X)$. A typical result is the following. Suppose that for some point $x_0 \in X$, $\xi_0 \neq 0$

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} b(x_0, \lambda\xi_0) = \mu_0 \neq 0. \tag{1.8}$$

Then, under some mild assumptions on the phase function Φ, the spectrum of the operator (1.1) with symbol (1.3) covers the whole circle $\mathbb{T}_\kappa = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \kappa\}$, where $\kappa = |\mu_0|$. In particular, the spectrum of A covers the unit circle if, for example, $b = 1$.

For the proof, we construct, for any point $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa$, a Weyl sequence which we seek in the form (1.6) where $\lambda \to \infty, \varepsilon \to 0$ but $\lambda^{1-r}\varepsilon \to \infty$. We construct ψ in such a way that $G(x, \lambda)$ essentially does not depend on x in a neighbourhood of the point x_0 so that $G(x, \lambda)$ may be replaced by $G(x_0, \lambda)$ in (1.7). If $r < 1/2$ (this case was considered in [9]), we can set

$$\psi(x) =< \xi_0, x - x_0 > \tag{1.9}$$

but in the general case ψ is a polynomial of degree $[r(1 - r)^{-1}] + 1$ with coefficients depending on λ. If $|G(x_0, \lambda)| \to \infty$ as $\lambda \to \infty$, then it is possible, for any $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{T}_1$, to find a sequence $\lambda_p \to \infty$ such that $e^{iG(x_0,\lambda_p)} = \mu_1$. Then, for a suitable sequence $\varepsilon_p \to 0$, $u_{\lambda_p,\varepsilon_p}$ is a Weyl sequence for the operator A and the point $\mu = \mu_1\mu_0$.

In Section 5 we consider PDO as integral operators in direct integrals of multiplication operators. For example, passing to the spherical coordinates and denoting $\lambda = x^2$ we can represent $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$ of vector-functions. This gives the spectral representation of the multiplication by x^2. In this representation an operator A can be considered as a formal integral operator, whose kernel $A^\natural(\mu, \nu)$ is, for every $\mu, \nu > 0$, an operator in the space $L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. A precise definition of the kernel requires, of course, some assumptions on the operator A. Suppose, for example, that, for some $s > 1/2$, an operator A is bounded from the Sobolev space $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into the space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In this case $A^\natural(\mu, \nu)$ is well-defined as a bounded operator in the space $L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$

and is a continuous function of $\mu, \nu > 0$. This implies that the same result holds for a PDO A if its symbol a belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^m_{1,0}$ with $m < -1$. In this case $A^\natural(\mu, \nu)$ is also a PDO and one can give (see [1]) an explicit expression for its principal symbol. The case $m \geq -1$ was studied in [7]. In particular, for $m = -1$ it was shown there that the diagonal value $A^\natural(\lambda, \lambda)$ is correctly defined if (and only if) the principal symbol $a_{-1}(x, \xi)$ of A equals to zero on the conormal bundle to the sphere $\{|x| = \lambda^{1/2}\}$, that is $a_{-1}(\lambda^{1/2}\omega, t\omega) = 0$ for $|\omega| = 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (for sufficiently large $|t|$).

Our goal in Section 5 is to consider PDO with symbols from arbitrary classes $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}$. In such a general case there is no invariance with respect to change of variables, and hence we are obliged to work with PDO defined by their amplitudes $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ where $\rho > 0$ and $\delta < 1$ are arbitrary. So, compared to [7], we consider PDO from a more general class but our condition on the conormal bundle is much more restrictive. Actually, we suppose that $\mathbf{a}(x, x', \xi) = 0$ for x^2 close to some $\lambda_0 > 0$, small $|x - x'|$ and ξ from some conical neighbourhood of the line tx, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we construct a continuous kernel $A^\natural(\mu, \nu)$ in a neighbourhood of the point $\mu = \nu = \lambda_0$. We also check that $A^\natural(\mu, \nu)$ is a PDO from the class $\mathcal{S}^{m+1}_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ and give an explicit expression for its amplitude.

Our result on the existence of diagonal values $A^\natural(\lambda, \lambda)$ is, to a certain extent, similar in spirit to a result of [5], Chapter 8, on the existence of restriction of a distribution to a manifold $\mathbf{S}$. In [5] it is required that the wave front of the distribution does not intersect with the conormal bundle to $\mathbf{S}$. In the example above, this implies that kernel $k(x, x')$ of a PDO A can, in some sense, be restricted to $\mathbf{S} = S \times S$ where S is the sphere $|x| = \lambda^{1/2}$.

The results of Section 2 are a necessary technical background for an elementary proof of the existence and completeness of wave operators for the Schrödinger operator with a long-range potential. The results of the following sections are used for a study of the corresponding scattering matrix. Actually, the singular part of the scattering matrix is defined as a diagonal value of kernel of some PDO. This requires the results of Section 5. A study of spectral properties of the scattering matrix relies on the results of Sections 3 and 4.

2. THE BASIC CALCULUS

2.1. We recall first the definition of the Hörmander classes $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be some open set and let $m \in \mathbb{R}$. The set $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta} = \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}(X \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of functions $a \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that, for all multi-indices α, β and all compact $K \subset X$, there exist $N_{\alpha,\beta,K}$ such that

$$|(\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a)(x, \xi)| \leq N_{\alpha,\beta,K}(a)(1 + |\xi|)^{m - |\alpha|\rho + |\beta|\delta}$$

for all $(x, \xi) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^d$. The best $N_{\alpha,\beta,K}(a)$ are the semi-norms of the symbol a. The set $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ of functions $\mathbf{a}(x, x', \xi)$ is defined exactly in the same way if x is replaced by (x, x'). We denote $\mathcal{S}^m = \mathcal{S}^m_{1,0}$ or $\mathcal{S}^m = \mathcal{S}^m_{1,0,0}$. Below C and c are different positive constants whose values are unimportant.

For any PDO (1.2) with the amplitude $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$, where $\rho > 0, \delta < 1$, its kernel

$$k(x,x') = k_A(x,x') = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i<x-x',\xi>} \mathbf{a}(x,x',\xi) d\xi \tag{2.1}$$

is well-defined for $x \neq x'$ and $k(x,x')$ is a C^∞-function outside of the diagonal $x = x'$. A PDO is called properly supported if $k(x,x') = 0$ for any x and $|x'|$ sufficiently large, i.e. $|x'| \geq c(x)$, as well as for any x' and $|x| \geq c(x')$. Any properly supported PDO (1.2) can be written in the form (1.1) with symbol

$$a(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{a}(x, x+z, \xi+\zeta) e^{-i<z,\zeta>} dz d\zeta \tag{2.2}$$

but, of course, in general it cannot be claimed that $a \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}$ if $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$.

A standard integration by parts in the variable z based on the formula

$$e^{-i<z,\zeta>} = \langle \zeta \rangle^{-k} \langle D_z \rangle^k e^{-i<z,\zeta>}, \quad \langle D_z \rangle^2 = I + D^2_{z_1} + \ldots + D^2_{z_d}, \quad k \text{ is even}, \tag{2.3}$$

shows only that (for any $\rho \geq 0, \delta < 1$)

$$|\partial^\alpha_\xi \partial^\beta_x a(x,\xi)| \leq C(1+|\xi|)^n, \quad (x,\xi) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.4}$$

for all multi-indices α, β, all compact $K \subset X$ and some $n = n(\alpha,\beta)$, $C = C(\alpha,\beta,K)$.

2.2. The following result shows that the class $\mathcal{C}^m(\Theta)$ reduces to the "best" class $\mathcal{S}^m$ if the phase function $\Theta(x,x',\xi)$ equals to zero at the diagonal $x = x'$.

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that a PDO A is given by formula* (1.2) *where* $\mathbf{a}$ *admits representation* (1.4) *and* $\Theta(x,x,\xi) = 0$. *Then A is a PDO with symbol $a \in \mathcal{S}^m$ and $a(x,\xi)$ admits the asymptotic expansion*

$$a(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (\alpha!)^{-1} a_\alpha(x,\xi), \quad \text{where} \quad a_\alpha(x,\xi) = (\partial^\alpha_\xi D^\alpha_{x'} \mathbf{a})(x,x',\xi)|_{x=x'}; \tag{2.5}$$

in particular, $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^{m-|\alpha|(1-r)}$ *for all* α.

Remark first of all that

$$(D^\alpha_{x'} \mathbf{a})(x,x',\xi) = e^{i\Theta(x,x',\xi)} \mathbf{b}_\alpha(x,x',\xi), \quad \mathbf{b}_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^{m+|\alpha|r}, \tag{2.6}$$

so that the oscillating factor disappears if $x = x'$ and, consequently, $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^{m-|\alpha|(1-r)}$. Thus the series (2.5) is asymptotic for any $r < 1$.

Expansion (2.5) for the symbol a in terms of the amplitude $\mathbf{a}$ is, of course, the same as in the case $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ for $\rho > \delta$. Thus, Theorem 2.1 holds true in the case $\Theta \in \mathcal{S}^r$ for $r < 1/2$. Since the right-hand side of (2.5) is an asymptotic series for any $r < 1$, this makes quite plausible that equality (2.5) itself is also valid for all $r < 1$. Some part of the usual proof (expounded, for example, in [8]) of (2.5) for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ with $\rho > \delta$ applies for arbitrary $\rho > 0$, $\delta < 1$. We try to avoid repeating these arguments and concentrate on the part of the construction which fails for $\rho \leq \delta$.

At a formal level (2.5) is a consequence of the following elementary

Lemma 2.2 *Let* $\mathbf{p}(z,\zeta)$ *be a* C^∞*-function which is compactly supported in the variable* z *and is polynomially bounded in the variable* ζ*. Then for any* $N \geq 1$

$$(2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathbf{p}(z,\zeta)e^{-i<z,\zeta>}dzd\zeta = \sum_{0\leq|\alpha|\leq N-1}(\alpha!)^{-1}(\partial_\zeta^\alpha D_z^\alpha\mathbf{p})(0,0) + p^{(N)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$p^{(N)} = (2\pi)^{-d}N\sum_{|\alpha|=N}(\alpha!)^{-1}\int_0^1(1-t)^{N-1}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\partial_\zeta^\alpha\mathbf{p})(z,t\zeta)\zeta^\alpha e^{-i<z,\zeta>}dzd\zeta\Big)dt. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. – Let us use the Taylor expansion (with the rest) at the point $\zeta = 0$

$$\mathbf{p}(z,\zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N-1}(\alpha!)^{-1}(\partial_\zeta^\alpha\mathbf{p})(z,0)\zeta^\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{(N)}(z,\zeta),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{(N)}(z,\zeta) = N\sum_{|\alpha|=N}(\alpha!)^{-1}\zeta^\alpha\int_0^1(1-t)^{N-1}(\partial_\zeta^\alpha\mathbf{p})(z,t\zeta)dt.$$

Taking into account that

$$(2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\partial_\zeta^\alpha\mathbf{p})(z,0)e^{-i<z,\zeta>}\zeta^\alpha dzd\zeta = (D_z^\alpha\partial_\zeta^\alpha\mathbf{p})(0,0)$$

we arrive at equality (2.7). □

Our proof of Theorem 2.1 relies, of course, on representation (2.2) for the symbol $a(x,\xi)$. Let us use, for any fixed x,ξ, Lemma 2.2 with

$$\mathbf{p}(z,\zeta;x,\xi) = \mathbf{a}(x,x+z,\xi+\zeta).$$

Then the coefficients $(D_z^\alpha\partial_\zeta^\alpha\mathbf{p})(0,0;x,\xi)$ coincide with the numbers $a_\alpha(x,\xi)$ defined in (2.5). Equality (2.7) shows that $a(x,\xi)$ is a sum of the terms $(\alpha!)^{-1}a_\alpha(x,\xi)$ over α, $0\leq|\alpha|\leq N-1$, and of the rest $a^{(N)}(x,\xi)$. Integrating by parts in (2.8) we obtain that

$$a^{(N)}(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d}N\sum_{|\alpha|=N}(\alpha!)^{-1}\int_0^1(1-t)^{N-1}R^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi;t)dt,$$

where

$$R^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi;t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\partial_\xi^\alpha D_{x'}^\alpha\mathbf{a})(x,x+z,\xi+t\zeta)e^{-i<z,\zeta>}dzd\zeta. \tag{2.9}$$

Taking into account (2.4) we see that for the proof of Theorem 2.1 it suffices to check the following

Lemma 2.3 *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 2.1 *there exists a number* q *such that for all* α *and all compact* $K\subset X$

$$|R^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi;t)| \leq C(1+|\xi|)^{q-|\alpha|(1-r)}, \quad (x,\xi)\in K\times\mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.10}$$

uniformly in $t\in[0,1]$.

An estimation of integral (2.9) is different for large and small values of $|\zeta|\,|\xi|^{-1}$. In the first case it is quite standard. The following lemma is true for an arbitrary amplitude $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ with any $\rho \geq 0$, $\delta < 1$. Its proof relies on equality (2.3) and integration by parts in the variable z.

Lemma 2.4 *If* $|\xi| \leq C|\zeta|$*, then for any* n

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_\xi^\alpha D_{x'}^\alpha \mathbf{a})(x, x+z, \xi+t\zeta) e^{-i<z,\zeta>} dz| \leq C_n |\zeta|^{-n}.$$

For small $|\zeta|\,|\xi|^{-1}$ we integrate by parts in the variable ζ and really use assumptions of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.5 *For any* $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_\xi^\alpha D_{x'}^\alpha \mathbf{a})(x, x+z, \xi+t\zeta) \chi(\zeta/|\xi|) e^{-i<z,\zeta>} d\zeta| \leq C_n |\xi|^{m+d-|\alpha|(1-r)}.$$

Proof. – We omit the inessential variable x and set $\theta(z,\eta) = \Theta(x, x+z, \eta)$. According to (2.6), it suffices to check that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_\xi^\alpha \Big(e^{i\theta(z,\xi+t\zeta)} b(z, \xi+t\zeta) \Big) \chi(\zeta/|\xi|) e^{-i<z,\zeta>} d\zeta = O(|\xi|^{p+d-|\alpha|}), \tag{2.11}$$

if $b \in \mathcal{S}^p$, $\theta \in \mathcal{S}^r$ and $\theta(0,\xi) = 0$ for all ξ. Estimate (2.11) is, of course, true for $\alpha = 0$. We assume it for $|\alpha| = N-1$ and verify for $|\alpha| = N$. Note that for some k and β with $|\beta| = N-1$

$$\partial_\xi^\alpha (e^{i\theta} b) = \partial_\xi^\beta (e^{i\theta} b_{\xi_k} + i e^{i\theta} \theta_{\xi_k} b)$$

and split up integral (2.11) into two terms. Since $b_{\xi_k} \in \mathcal{S}^{p-1}$, the integral containing $\partial_\xi^\beta (e^{i\theta} b_{\xi_k})$ is bounded, by the inductive assumption, by $C|\xi|^{p+d-N}$. So we need only to consider

$$i \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_\xi^\beta \Big(e^{i\theta(z,\xi+t\zeta)} f(z, \xi+t\zeta) \Big) \chi(\zeta/|\xi|) e^{-i<z,\zeta>} d\zeta, \quad f = b\theta_{\xi_k} \in \mathcal{S}^{p-1+r}, \quad f(0,\xi) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Integrating here by parts we find that this integral equals

$$\sum_{j=1}^d z_j |z|^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{\zeta_j} \{ \partial_\xi^\beta \Big(e^{i\theta(z,\xi+t\zeta)} f(z, \xi+t\zeta) \Big) \chi(\zeta/|\xi|) \} e^{-i<z,\zeta>} d\zeta.$$

Note that

$$\partial_{\zeta_j} \{ \partial_\xi^\beta (e^{i\theta} f) \chi \} = |\xi|^{-1} \partial_\xi^\beta (e^{i\theta} f) \chi_{\zeta_j} + t \partial_\xi^\beta (e^{i\theta} f_{\xi_j}) \chi + it \partial_\xi^\beta (e^{i\theta} \theta_{\xi_j} f) \chi. \tag{2.13}$$

Since

$$z_j |z|^{-2} f(z,\xi) \in \mathcal{S}^{p-1+r} \subset \mathcal{S}^p,$$

by our inductive assumption, the integrals containing the first two terms in the right-hand side of (2.13) are bounded by $C|\xi|^{p+d-N}$. So it remains to consider the integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_\xi^\beta \Big(e^{i\theta(z,\xi+t\zeta)} g_j(z, \xi+t\zeta) \Big) \chi(\zeta/|\xi|) e^{-i<z,\zeta>} d\zeta, \quad g_j = z_j |z|^{-2} \theta_{\xi_j} f \quad g_j(0,\xi) = 0.$$

They have the same form as (2.12) but $g_j \in \mathcal{S}^{p-2+2r}$. Therefore we can repeat the arguments above. After n steps we arrive at integral (2.12) with $f \in \mathcal{S}^q$, where $q = p - \min\{1, (n+1)(1-r)\}$. So one needs only to choose n such that $(n+1)(1-r) > 1$. □

Combining Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 we obtain estimate (2.10) with $q = m + d$. As was already mentioned, Lemma 2.3 directly implies Theorem 2.1.

2.3. In this subsection we consider PDO A_1, A_2 defined by formulas (1.1), (1.3). Our goal is to obtain for symbols of the operators $A_1 A_2^*$ and $A_2^* A_1$ the same expansions as in the case $a_j \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}$ with $\rho > \delta$. In view of applications to scattering theory we suppose that symbols a_1 and a_2 are compactly supported in x, that is for some compact $K_0 \subset X$

$$a_j(x,\xi) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad x \notin K_0, \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ j = 1,2. \tag{2.14}$$

Then we may assume that $X = \mathbb{R}^d$. In this case A and A^* send the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}$ into itself so that the products $A_1 A_2^*$ and $A_2^* A_1$ are correctly defined on $\mathcal{S}$. Note also that Theorems 2.6 and 2.7 hold as well true if PDO A_1 and A_2 are properly supported.

The results on the operator $A_1 A_2^*$ are summarized in the following

Theorem 2.6 *Suppose that $A_j \in \mathcal{C}^{m_j}(\Phi)$ for $j = 1,2$ and some numbers m_j. Then $G = A_1 A_2^*$ is a PDO with symbol $g \in \mathcal{S}^m$ for $m = m_1 + m_2$ and $g(x,\xi)$ admits the asymptotic expansion*

$$g(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (\alpha!)^{-1} g_\alpha(x,\xi), \quad \text{where} \quad g_\alpha(x,\xi) = \partial_\xi^\alpha \big(a_1(x,\xi) \overline{D_x^\alpha a_2(x,\xi)}\big); \tag{2.15}$$

in particular, $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^{m-|\alpha|(1-r)}$ for all α.

Proof. – The operator A_2^* is a PDO with the amplitude $\overline{a_2(x',\xi)}$ and, consequently,

$$(\widehat{A_2^* u})(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i<x',\xi>} \overline{a_2(x',\xi)} u(x') dx'.$$

Comparing this expression with definition (1.1) of the PDO A_1 we see that the product $G = A_1 A_2^*$ can be written in the form (1.2) with the amplitude

$$\mathbf{g}(x,x',\xi) = a_1(x,\xi) \overline{a_2(x',\xi)}.$$

Taking into account representations (1.3) for symbols a_j we see that

$$\mathbf{g}(x,x',\xi) = e^{i\Theta(x,x',\xi)} b_1(x,\xi) \overline{b_2(x',\xi)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Theta(x,x',\xi) = \Phi(x,\xi) - \Phi(x',\xi).$$

This amplitude satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 so that $g \in \mathcal{S}^m$ and expansion (2.15) is a particular case of (2.5). □

The results on the operator $A_2^* A_1$ are formulated similarly to Theorem 2.6 although their proof is somewhat different.

Theorem 2.7 *Suppose that $A_j \in \mathcal{C}^{m_j}(\Phi)$ for $j = 1,2$ and some numbers m_j. Then $H = A_2^* A_1$ is a PDO with symbol $h \in \mathcal{S}^m$ for $m = m_1 + m_2$ and $h(x,\xi)$ admits the asymptotic expansion*

$$h(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} (\alpha!)^{-1} h_\alpha(x,\xi), \quad \text{where} \quad h_\alpha(x,\xi) = D_x^\alpha \big(a_1(x,\xi) \overline{\partial_\xi^\alpha a_2(x,\xi)}\big); \tag{2.16}$$

in particular, $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^{m-|\alpha|(1-r)}$ for all α.

Note that $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^{m-|\alpha|(1-r)}$ because the oscillating factors $e^{\pm i\Phi(x,\xi)}$ cancel each other in the product $a_1\overline{\partial_\xi^\alpha a_2}$.

Using again that A_2^* is the PDO with amplitude $\overline{a_2(x',\xi)}$ we obtain the equality

$$(A_2^*A_1u)(x) = (2\pi)^{-3d/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i<x-x',\eta>}\overline{a_2(x',\eta)}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a_1(x',\xi)e^{i<x',\xi>}\hat{u}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dx'd\eta.$$

Thus, $H = A_2^*A_1$ is the PDO with symbol

$$h(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a_1(y,\xi)\overline{a_2(y,\xi+\zeta)}e^{i<x-y,\zeta>}dyd\zeta. \tag{2.17}$$

Let us first obtain a rough estimate on this function.

Lemma 2.8 *Suppose that* $a_j \in \mathcal{S}_{\rho,\delta}^{m_j}$, $j = 1,2$ *for some* $\rho \geq 0$, $\delta < 1$. *Then for all multi-indices* α,β *the function* $\partial_\xi^\alpha\partial_x^\beta h(x,\xi)$ *is bounded by* $C(1+|\xi|)^n$ *for some* $n = n(\alpha,\beta)$ *and* $C = C(\alpha,\beta)$.

Proof. – Using (2.3) and integrating by parts in (2.17) in the variable y we see that

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha\partial_x^\beta h(x,\xi)| \leq C\langle\xi\rangle^{m_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\langle\xi+\zeta\rangle^{m_2}\langle\zeta\rangle^{|\beta|-k}(\langle\xi+\zeta\rangle^{\delta k}+\langle\zeta\rangle^{\delta k})d\zeta.$$

This gives the necessary estimate with, for example, $n = m_1 + m_2^+ + \delta k$ (here $m_2^+ = \max\{0, m_2\}$) if k is large enough, say, $(1-\delta)k > |\beta| + d + m_2^+$. □

For any fixed x,ξ, expression (2.17) coincides with the left-hand side of (2.7) where

$$\mathbf{p}(z,\zeta;x,\xi) = a_1(x+z,\xi)\overline{a_2(x+z,\xi+\zeta)}.$$

Then the coefficients $(\partial_\zeta^\alpha D_z^\alpha\mathbf{p})(0,0;x,\xi)$ equal to the numbers $h_\alpha(x,\xi)$ defined in (2.16). Equality (2.7) shows that $h(x,\xi)$ is a sum of the terms $(\alpha!)^{-1}h_\alpha(x,\xi)$ over α, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N-1$, and of the rest $h^{(N)}(x,\xi)$ defined by (2.8). Thus, for the proof of Theorem 2.7 we need only to estimate $h^{(N)}(x,\xi)$. More precisely, it suffices (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.1) to obtain estimates (2.10), uniformly in $t \in [0,1]$, for the functions

$$R_\alpha(x,\xi;t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_\alpha(\xi,\zeta;t)e^{i<x,\zeta>}d\zeta, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$v_\alpha(\xi,\zeta;t) = \zeta^\alpha\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a_1(y,\xi)\overline{(\partial_\xi^\alpha a_2)(y,\xi+t\zeta)}e^{-i<y,\zeta>}dy. \tag{2.19}$$

Remark first that, quite similarly to Lemma 2.8, one can check that under its assumptions, for any n,

$$|v_\alpha(\xi,\zeta;t)| \leq C_n(1+|\zeta|)^{-n} \quad \text{if} \quad |\zeta| \geq |\xi|/2. \tag{2.20}$$

An estimate of function (2.19) for $|\zeta| \leq |\xi|/2$ requires condition (1.3).

Lemma 2.9 *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 2.7,

$$|v_\alpha(\xi,\zeta;t)| \leq C(1+|\xi|)^{m-|\alpha|(1-r)} \quad \text{if} \quad |\zeta| \leq |\xi|/2. \tag{2.21}$$

Estimating integral (2.18) with the help of (2.20) and (2.21) we obtain bound (2.10), where $q = m + d$, for $R_\alpha(x, \xi; t)$. So to conclude the proof of Theorem 2.7 it remains to verify Lemma 2.9.

The proof of estimate (2.21) relies on the representation

$$a_1(y, \xi)\overline{(\partial_\xi^\alpha a_2)(y, \eta)} = e^{i\varphi(y,\xi,\eta)} w_\alpha(y, \xi, \eta), \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$\varphi(y, \xi, \eta) = \Phi(y, \xi) - \Phi(y, \eta) \tag{2.23}$$

and, according to (1.3), for all β

$$|(\partial_y^\beta w_\alpha)(y, \xi, \xi + t\zeta)| \leq C_\beta(1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\alpha|(1-r)}. \tag{2.24}$$

This estimate for $\beta = 0$ implies already that (2.21) is fulfilled for $|\zeta|$ bounded. To obtain (2.21) in the whole ball $|\zeta| \leq |\xi|/2$ we need to get rid of the growing factor ζ^α in (2.19). Note that function (2.23) satisfies, for all β and any compact K, the inequality

$$\sup_{y \in K} |(\partial_y^\beta \varphi)(y, \xi, \xi + t\zeta)| \leq C|\xi|^{r-1}|\zeta|, \tag{2.25}$$

which is a consequence of the assumption $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^r$. Let us use the following

Lemma 2.10 *Let a function φ satisfy* (2.25) *and let $1 \leq |\zeta| \leq |\xi|/2$. Suppose that a function $w(y, \xi, \zeta, t)$ is compactly supported in the variable y and for some p and all β*

$$|(\partial_y^\beta w)(y, \xi, \zeta, t)| \leq C|\xi|^p, \quad |\zeta| \leq |\xi|/2. \tag{2.26}$$

Then

$$|\zeta| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w(y, \xi, \zeta, t) e^{i\varphi(y,\xi,\zeta,t)} e^{-i<y,\zeta>} dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{w}(y, \xi, \zeta, t) e^{i\varphi(y,\xi,\zeta,t)} e^{-i<y,\zeta>} dy, \tag{2.27}$$

where $\tilde{w}$ is compactly supported in y and satisfies (2.26) *for all β.*

Proof. – Integrating in the left-hand side of (2.27) by parts we rewrite it as

$$-i \sum_{j=1}^d \zeta_j |\zeta|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{y_j} \Big(w(y, \xi, \zeta, t) e^{i\varphi(y,\xi,\zeta,t)} \Big) e^{-i<y,\zeta>} dy.$$

The functions $(\partial_{y_j} w)(y, \xi, \zeta, t)$ satisfy (2.26) and, by virtue of (2.25), the functions

$$|\zeta|^{-1} w(y, \xi, \zeta, t)(\partial_{y_j}\varphi)(y, \xi, \zeta, t) \tag{2.28}$$

satisfy (2.26) with p replaced by $p + r - 1$. Let us again integrate by parts in integrals containing functions (2.28). Then after n steps, we arrive at representation (2.27) with a function $\tilde{w}$ bounded (with its derivatives in y) by

$$C|\xi|^p(1 + |\zeta||\xi|^{-n(1-r)}).$$

If $n > (1 - r)^{-1}$, this gives inequality (2.26) for $\tilde{w}$. $\square$

Let us now take into account representation (2.22) and apply Lemma 2.10 $N = |\alpha|$ times to integral (2.19). Thus, the growing factor ζ^α may be “eaten up” which, by virtue of (2.24), gives (2.21). This conclude the proof of Lemma 2.9 and hence of Theorem 2.7.

2.4. A simple tradional condition of boundedness of PDO is formulated in the following elementary

Lemma 2.11 *Suppose that* $b \in \mathcal{S}^m_{0,0}$ *and* $b(x,\xi)$ *is compactly supported in the variable* x*. Then the PDO with symbol* $b(x,\xi)$ *is bounded in the space* $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *if* $m=0$ *and it is compact if* $m<0$*.*

A proof can be easily deduced from the expansion of $b(x,\xi)$ in the Fourier series in the variable x.

Combining this assertion with Theorem 2.6, we obtain conditions of boundedness of PDO with oscillating symbols.

Theorem 2.12 *Let* $a \in \mathcal{C}^m(\Phi)$ *and let* $a(x,\xi)$ *be compactly supported in the variable* x*. Then the PDO with symbol* $a(x,\xi)$ *is bounded in the space* $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *if* $m=0$ *and it is compact if* $m<0$*.*

Proof. – By Theorem 2.6, symbol of the PDO $G = AA^*$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^{2m}_{1,0}$. So according to Lemma 2.11 it is bounded (compact) which implies boundedness (compactness) of the operators A^* and A. $\square$

Applications to scattering theory require to single out the singular part of the operators $A_1 A_2^*$ and $A_2^* A_1$.

Theorem 2.13 *Let* $A_j,\ j=1,2,$ *be the PDO with symbols* $a_j \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Phi)$ *(so that* $a_j = e^{i\Phi} b_j$ *with* $b_j \in \mathcal{S}^0$*) satisfying condition* (2.14)*. Denote by* B *the PDO with symbol*

$$b(x,\xi) = b_1(x,\xi)\,\overline{b_2(x,\xi)}, \quad b \in \mathcal{S}^0.$$

Then both operators $A_1A_2^* - B$ *and* $A_2^*A_1 - B$ *are compact in the space* $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$*.*

Proof. – It follows from Theorem 2.6 that $G_1 = A_1A_2^* - B$ is the PDO with symbol g_1 from the class $\mathcal{S}^{-1+r}$. Since, moreover, g_1 is compactly supported in x, the operator G_1 is compact by virtue of Lemma 2.11.

Similarly, by Theorem 2.7, $H_1 = A_2^*A_1 - B$ is the PDO with symbol h_1 from the class $\mathcal{S}^{-1+r}$. Let Ω be multiplication by a function $\Omega \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By virtue of Lemma 2.11, the operator ΩH_1 is compact. Choose $\Omega = \bar{\Omega}$ such that $\Omega(x) = 1$ in a neighbourhood of K_0. Then $(I-\Omega)B = 0$. Let us check that the operator $(I-\Omega)A_2^*$ is compact. Clearly, A_2 is an integral operator with kernel $k_2(x,y) = \mathbf{k}_2(x, x-y)$, where $\mathbf{k}_2(x,z)$ is a C^∞-function outside the diagonal $z=0$; moreover, $\mathbf{k}_2(x,z) = 0$ if $x \notin K_0$ and $\mathbf{k}_2(x,z) \to 0$ quicker than any power of $|z|^{-1}$ as $|z| \to \infty$. Therefore the kernel $\mathbf{k}_2(x,x-y)(1-\Omega(y))$ of the operator $A_2(I-\Omega)$ is a C^∞-function which is compactly supported in x and is rapidly decreasing as $|y| \to \infty$. This implies compactness of $(I-\Omega)A_2^*$ and hence of $(I-\Omega)H_1$. $\square$

2.5. Here we use the stationary phase method to show that a PDO A defined by oscillating amplitude $\mathbf{a}$ admits representation (1.1) with oscillating symbol a. Thus we suppose that A is given by formula (1.2), where amplitude $\mathbf{a}$ satisfies (1.4). Assume that a PDO A is properly supported. We shall check that symbol a of the PDO A satisfies (1.3) and find expressions for the functions Φ and b in terms of the functions Θ and $\mathbf{b}$.

Let us proceed from representation (2.2). Inserting there (1.4), making the change of variables $\zeta = |\xi|\eta$ and denoting

$$\Xi(z,\eta;x,\xi) = |\xi|^{-1}\Theta(x, x+z, \xi+|\xi|\eta) - <z,\eta> \tag{2.29}$$

we see that

$$a(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d}|\xi|^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\Big(i|\xi|\Xi(z,\eta;x,\xi)\Big)\mathbf{b}(x,x+z,\xi+|\xi|\eta)dzd\eta. \tag{2.30}$$

The asymptotics of this integral as $|\xi|\to\infty$ is determined by stationary points $z_s = z_s(x,\xi)$, $\eta_s = \eta_s(x,\xi)$ satisfying the equations

$$\Xi_\eta(z_s,\eta_s;x,\xi) = 0, \quad \Xi_z(z_s,\eta_s;x,\xi) = 0$$

or, in view of (2.29),

$$z_s = \Theta_\xi(x,x+z_s,\xi+|\xi|\eta_s), \quad \eta_s = |\xi|^{-1}\Theta_y(x,x+z_s,\xi+|\xi|\eta_s), \tag{2.31}$$

where Θ_y and Θ_ξ are derivatives of the function $\Theta(x,y,\xi)$ in the second and third variables.

Lemma 2.14 *For any x and sufficiently large $|\xi|$ system* (2.31) *has a solution $z_s = z_s(x,\xi), \eta_s = \eta_s(x,\xi)$, which can be obtained by iterations*

$$z_s = \lim_{p\to\infty} z_s^{(p)}, \quad \eta_s = \lim_{p\to\infty}\eta_s^{(p)},$$

where $z_s^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_s^{(0)} = 0$,

$$z_s^{(p)} = \Theta_\xi(x,x+z_s^{(p-1)},\xi+|\xi|\eta_s^{(p-1)}), \quad \eta_s^{(p)} = |\xi|^{-1}\Theta_y(x,x+z_s^{(p-1)},\xi+|\xi|\eta_s^{(p-1)}).$$

The vector-functions $z_s(x,\xi)$ and $\eta_s(x,\xi)$ are infinitely differentiable in the variables x and ξ and belong to the class $\mathcal{S}^{-1+r}$.

We omit an elementary proof which relies on the estimate

$$|z_s^{(p+1)} - z_s^{(p)}| + |\eta_s^{(p+1)} - \eta_s^{(p)}| \le C|\xi|^{-1+r}(|z_s^{(p)} - z_s^{(p-1)}| + |\eta_s^{(p)} - \eta_s^{(p-1)}|).$$

In its turn, this estimate is a consequence of the assumption $\Theta\in\mathcal{S}^r$.

Let us introduce the Hessian of the function $\Xi(z,\eta;x,\xi)$ in the variables z and η:

$$h(z,\eta;x,\xi) = \operatorname{Hess}_{z,\eta}\Xi(z,\eta;x,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{zz}\Xi & \partial_{z\eta}\Xi \\ \partial_{\eta z}\Xi & \partial_{\eta\eta}\Xi \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.32}$$

and let $\det h$ and $\operatorname{sgn} h$ be the determinant and the signature of this $(2d)\times(2d)$-matrix. According to (2.29),

$$\Xi_{zz}(z,\eta;x,\xi) = |\xi|^{-1}\Theta_{yy}(x,x+z,\xi+|\xi|\eta) = O(|\xi|^{-1+r}),$$

$$\Xi_{z,\eta}(z,\eta;x,\xi) = -I + \Theta_{y\xi}(x,x+z,\xi+|\xi|\eta) = -I + O(|\xi|^{-1+r}),$$

$$\Xi_{\eta\eta}(z,\eta;x,\xi) = |\xi|\Theta_{\xi\xi}(x,x+z,\xi+|\xi|\eta) = O(|\xi|^{-1+r}),$$

and, consequently,

$$|\det h(z,\eta;x,\xi)| = 1 + O(|\xi|^{-1+r}), \quad \operatorname{sgn} h(z,\eta;x,\xi) = 0$$

for sufficiently large $|\xi|$.

Applying the stationary phase method to integral (2.30) we obtain

Theorem 2.15 *Suppose that a PDO A is given by formula* (1.2)*, where the amplitude* $\mathbf{a}$ *admits representation* (1.4)*. Then symbol of the operator A satisfies* (1.3)*, where*

$$\Phi(x,\xi) = \Theta(x, x + z_s, \xi + |\xi|\eta_s) - |\xi| < z_s, \eta_s >;$$

in particular, $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^r$ and

$$\Phi(x,\xi) = \Theta(x,x,\xi) + \Phi_0(x,\xi), \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi_0 \in \mathcal{S}^{-1+2r}. \tag{2.33}$$

The function $b \in \mathcal{S}^m$ and

$$b(x,\xi) = \mathbf{b}(x,x,\xi) + O(|\xi|^{m-1+r}), \quad |\xi| \to \infty.$$

This result is, of course, of interest only in the case $r \geq 1/2$ when the standard expression (see e. g. [8]) of the symbol in terms of the amplitude is not applicable and the contribution of $\Phi_0(x,\xi)$ in (2.33) cannot be neglected.

Combining Theorems 2.12 and 2.15, we obtain conditions of boundedness of PDO with oscillating amplitudes.

Theorem 2.16 *Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}^m(\Theta)$ and let $\mathbf{a}(x,x',\xi)$ be compactly supported in the variables x and x'. Then the PDO with amplitude $\mathbf{a}(x,x',\xi)$ is bounded* (*compact*) *in the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $m = 0$* ($m < 0$)*.*

3. THE ACTION ON AN EXPONENT

3.1. Our goal here is to calculate a PDO A defined by (1.1), (1.3) on functions

$$u_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x) = e^{i\lambda\psi(x)} f_\varepsilon(x), \quad \text{where} \quad f_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-d/2} f((x - x_0)/\varepsilon), \tag{3.1}$$

$f \in C_0^\infty(X)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $\lambda \to \infty$. As in subsection 2.5, we use the stationary phase method. We allow $\varepsilon \to 0$ when $f = f_\varepsilon$ are shrinking to the point x_0. All our estimates will be uniform with respect to phase functions $\psi \in C^\infty$ satisfying the following

Assumption 3.1 *For a neighbourhood U of the point x_0 and constants C_α and c,*

$$|\partial^\alpha \psi(x)| \leq C_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi'(x)| \geq c > 0, \quad x \in U.$$

If $\varepsilon \to 0$, then automatically $\operatorname{supp} f_\varepsilon \subset U$ for sufficiently small ε. If ε is fixed, then we require that $\operatorname{supp} f_\varepsilon \subset U$.

Let us insert (3.1) into (1.2), where $\mathbf{a}(x,x',\xi) = a(x,\xi)$. Making the change of variables $\xi = \lambda\eta$, we see that

$$(Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon})(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \lambda^d e^{i\lambda\psi(x)} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\Gamma(y,\eta;x,\lambda)} b(x,\lambda\eta) f_\varepsilon(y) dy d\eta, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\Gamma(y,\eta;x,\lambda) = \lambda < x - y, \eta > + \Phi(x,\lambda\eta) + \lambda\psi(y) - \lambda\psi(x). \tag{3.3}$$

The critical points $y_s = y_s(x, \lambda), \eta_s = \eta_s(x, \lambda)$ of this function are defined by the equations

$$\Gamma_\eta(y_s, \eta_s; x, \lambda) = 0, \quad \Gamma_y(y_s, \eta_s; x, \lambda) = 0$$

or, in view of (3.3),

$$y_s = x + \Phi_\xi(x, \lambda\eta_s), \quad \eta_s = \psi'(y_s). \tag{3.4}$$

This gives an equation for y_s:

$$y_s = x + \Phi_\xi(x, \lambda\psi'(y_s)). \tag{3.5}$$

We omit the proof of the following elementary assertion.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $x \in X$ and $\psi'(x) \neq 0$. Then for sufficiently large λ equation* (3.5) *has a unique solution $y_s = y_s(x, \lambda)$, which can be obtained by iterations*

$$y_s = \lim_{p\to\infty} y_s^{(p)},$$

where $y_s^{(0)} = x$,

$$y_s^{(p)} = x + \Phi_\xi(x, \lambda\psi'(y_s^{(p-1)})).$$

In particular,

$$y_s(x, \lambda) = x + O(\lambda^{-1+r}). \tag{3.6}$$

Furthermore, the vector-function $y_s(x, \lambda)$ is infinitely differentiable in the variable x and

$$y_s'(x, \lambda) = I + O(\lambda^{-1+r}), \quad y_s^{(\alpha)}(x, \lambda) = O(\lambda^{-1+r}),\ |\alpha| \geq 2. \tag{3.7}$$

It follows now from the second equation (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$\eta_s(x, \lambda) = \psi'(x) + O(\lambda^{-1+r}). \tag{3.8}$$

Let us also introduce the phase Γ at the critical point:

$$G(x, \lambda) = \Gamma(y_s(x, \lambda), \eta_s(x, \lambda); x, \lambda). \tag{3.9}$$

It is convenient to transfer in (3.2) the dependence of f_ε on ε into the phase function. Setting $x = x_0 + \varepsilon w$ and making the change of variables $y = x_0 + \varepsilon z$ we obtain that

$$(Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon})(x_0 + \varepsilon w) = (2\pi)^{-d}\lambda^d\varepsilon^{d/2} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\lambda\varepsilon\Xi(z,\eta;w,\lambda,\varepsilon)} b(x_0 + \varepsilon w, \lambda\eta) f(z) dz d\eta, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\Xi(z, \eta; w, \lambda, \varepsilon) = (\lambda\varepsilon)^{-1}\Gamma(x_0 + \varepsilon z, \eta; x_0 + \varepsilon w, \lambda). \tag{3.11}$$

The stationary phase method can be applied to integral (3.10) if $\varepsilon\lambda^{1-r} \to \infty$. Indeed, its stationary point z_s, η_s are given, according to (3.6), (3.8), by relations

$$z_s = \varepsilon^{-1}(y_s(x_0 + \varepsilon w, \lambda) - x_0) = w + O(\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{-1+r}), \tag{3.12}$$

$$\eta_s = \eta_s(x_0 + \varepsilon w, \lambda) = \psi'(x_0 + \varepsilon w) + O(\lambda^{-1+r}) \tag{3.13}$$

and consequently have finite limits as $\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{-1+r} \to 0$. Furthermore, it follows from (3.3), (3.11) that

$$\Xi_{zz} = \varepsilon\psi''(x_0 + \varepsilon z), \quad \Xi_{z\eta} = -I, \quad \Xi_{\eta\eta} = \lambda\varepsilon^{-1}\Phi_{\xi\xi}(x_0 + \varepsilon w, \lambda\eta) = O(\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{-1+r}), \tag{3.14}$$
$$(\partial_z^\alpha \Xi)(z, \eta; w, \lambda, \varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^{|\alpha|-1}), \quad (\partial_\eta^\alpha \Xi)(z, \eta; w, \lambda, \varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{-1+r}), \quad |\alpha| \geq 2,$$

and mixed derivatives of Ξ of order higher than two are zero. Let

$$h(z, \eta; w, \lambda, \varepsilon) = \operatorname{Hess}_{z,\eta} \Xi(z, \eta; w, \lambda, \varepsilon)$$

be the Hessian (cf. (2.32)) of the function $\Xi(z, \eta; w, \lambda, \varepsilon)$ in the variables z and η. By virtue of (3.14), the determinant and the signature of this $(2d) \times (2d)$-matrix satisfy the relations

$$|\det h(z, \eta; w, \lambda, \varepsilon)| = 1 + O(\lambda^{-1+r}), \quad \operatorname{sgn} h(z, \eta; w, \lambda, \varepsilon) = 0$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$ uniformly in $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. Thus, the stationary phase method gives the following result for integral (3.10).

Lemma 3.3 *If $\varepsilon\lambda^{1-r} \to \infty$, then*

$$\varepsilon^{d/2}(Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon})(x_0 + \varepsilon w) = e^{i\lambda\varepsilon\Xi(z_s,\eta_s;w,\lambda,\varepsilon)} e^{i\lambda\psi(x)}$$
$$\times b(x_0 + \varepsilon w, \lambda\eta_s) f(z_s)\Big(1 + O(\lambda^{-1+r})\Big) + O(\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{m-1}) \tag{3.15}$$

uniformly in w, $|w| \leq c$. Moreover, if $f(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq c_0$, then for any n

$$\varepsilon^{d/2}(Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon})(x_0 + \varepsilon w) = O(\lambda^m(\lambda\varepsilon)^{-n}), \quad |w| \geq c_1 > c_0. \tag{3.16}$$

Note that the second assertion can be proven by integration by parts in (3.10) in the variable η since

$$\Xi_\eta(z, \eta; w, \lambda, \varepsilon) = z - w + \varepsilon^{-1}\Phi_\eta(x_0 + \varepsilon z, \lambda\eta) = z - w + O(\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{-1+r})$$

is separated from zero.

The right-hand side of (3.15) can be simplified. By virtue of (3.9), (3.11),

$$\lambda\varepsilon\Xi(z_s, \eta_s; w, \lambda, \varepsilon) = G(x, \lambda), \quad x = x_0 + \varepsilon w,$$

and, by virtue of (3.12), (3.13),

$$f(z_s) = f(w) + O(\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{-1+r}), \tag{3.17}$$
$$b(x, \lambda\eta_s) = b(x, \lambda\psi'(x)) + O(\lambda^{m-1+r}). \tag{3.18}$$

Now we can check relation (1.7).

Proposition 3.4 *Suppose that symbol $a(x, \xi)$ of PDO (1.1) satisfies conditions (1.3). Let functions $u_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$ be defined by (3.1), let $K \subset X$ be any compact set and let the function $G(x, \lambda)$ be defined by equations (3.4) and equalities (3.3), (3.9). Then*

$$(Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon})(x) = e^{iG(x,\lambda)} b(x, \lambda\psi'(x)) u_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x) + R_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x), \tag{3.19}$$

where the norm of $R_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$ in $L_2(K)$ is bounded by $C\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{m-1+r}$ as $\varepsilon\lambda^{1-r} \to \infty$ uniformly with respect to functions ψ satisfying Assumption 3.1.

Proof. – Let the function $R_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$ be defined by equality (3.19) and let c be some positive number. Suppose that $f(x)=0$ for $|x|\geq c_0$ and choose $c_1>c_0$. Making the change of variables $x=x_0+\varepsilon w$ we see that it suffices to estimate

$$\int_{|x-x_0|\leq c}|R_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x)|^2dx=\varepsilon^d\int_{|w|\leq c_1}|R_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x_0+\varepsilon w)|^2dw+\varepsilon^d\int_{c_1\leq|w|\leq c\varepsilon^{-1}}|R_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x_0+\varepsilon w)|^2dw.$$

According to (3.15), (3.17) and (3.18), the function $\varepsilon^{d/2}R_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x_0+\varepsilon w)$ is bounded by $C\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{m-1+r}$, which gives the necessary estimate for the first integral in the right-hand side. It follows from (3.16) that the second integral is bounded by $C_1\varepsilon^{-d}\lambda^{2m}(\varepsilon\lambda)^{-2n}$. Since n is arbitrary and $\varepsilon\lambda^{1-r}\to\infty$, this term can be estimated by $C\varepsilon^{-2}\lambda^{2(m-1+r)}$. □

Remark Since

$$b(x,\lambda\psi'(x))=b(x_0,\lambda\psi'(x_0))+O(\lambda^m|x-x_0|), \tag{3.20}$$

the function $b(x,\lambda\psi'(x))$ in (3.19) can be replaced by the number $b(x_0,\lambda\psi'(x_0))$ if $\varepsilon\to0$. In this case $||R_{\lambda,\varepsilon}||_{L_2(K)}$ is estimated by $C\lambda^m(\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda^{-1+r}+\varepsilon)$.

Remark Of course, Proposition 3.4 holds for phase functions $\psi(x,\lambda)$ depending on the parameter λ as long as Assumption 3.1 is satisfied.

3.2. In the next section we shall need the asymptotics for large λ of the function $G(x,\lambda)$ constructed in Proposition 3.4. Let us show that its leading term is $\Phi(x,\lambda\psi'(x))$ which grows as λ^r. Set

$$\Omega_1(x,\lambda)=\lambda^{1-2r}\Big(\Phi(x,\lambda\psi'(y_s))-\Phi(x,\lambda\psi'(x))\Big), \tag{3.21}$$

$$\Omega_2(x,\lambda)=\lambda^{2-2r}\Big(\psi(y_s)-\psi(x)-<y_s-x,\psi'(y_s)>\Big) \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\Omega(x,\lambda)=\Omega_1(x,\lambda)+\Omega_2(x,\lambda). \tag{3.23}$$

Then it follows from (3.3) that

$$G(x,\lambda)=\Phi(x,\lambda\psi'(x))+\lambda^{-1+2r}\Omega(x,\lambda). \tag{3.24}$$

To estimate Ω it is convenient to rewrite (3.21), (3.22) in the following form.

Lemma 3.5 *Set $Y_s(x,t)=ty_s+(1-t)x$. Then*

$$\Omega_1(x,\lambda)=\lambda^{2-2r}\int_0^1<\psi''(Y_s(t))\Phi_\xi(x,\lambda\psi'(Y_s(t))),y_s-x>dt, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\Omega_2(x,\lambda)=-\lambda^{2-2r}\int_0^1t\ <\psi''(Y_s(t))(y_s-x),y_s-x>dt. \tag{3.26}$$

Proof. – Let $\Omega_1(x,\lambda;t)$ be defined by formula (3.21) with y_s replaced by $Y_s(t)$. Since $\partial_tY_s(t)=y_s-x$, we have that

$$\partial_t\Omega_1(x,\lambda;t)=\lambda^{2-2r}<\psi''(Y_s(t))\Phi_\xi(x,\lambda\psi'(Y_s(t))),y_s-x>.$$

Integrating this equality and taking into account that $\Omega_1(x,\lambda;1)=\Omega_1(x,\lambda)$ and $\Omega_1(x,\lambda;0)$ $=0$, we obtain (3.25). Equality (3.26) can be derived quite similarly. □

Remark If $\psi(x)$ is a linear function, then $\Omega(x,\lambda)=0$.

Proposition 3.6 *Function* (3.9) *admits representation* (3.24)*, where* $\Omega(x,\lambda)$ *as well as all its derivatives* $\Omega^{(\alpha)}(x,\lambda)=\partial_x^\alpha\Omega(x,\lambda)$ *are bounded uniformly in* λ.

Proof. – By virtue of (3.6) and the assumption $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}^r$, we have that y_s-x and $\Phi_\xi(x,\lambda\psi'(Y_s(t)))$ are estimated by $C\lambda^{-1+r}$. Therefore functions (3.25) and (3.26) are bounded uniformly in λ. Differentiating equalities (3.25) and (3.26) and taking into account (3.7), we see that the same is true for all derivatives of the function $\Omega(x,\lambda)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.7 *For all* α*, the functions* $\lambda^{-r}G^{(\alpha)}(x,\lambda)$ *are bounded uniformly in* λ.

We shall need also a continuity of the function Ω with respect to variations of ψ.

Proposition 3.8 *Suppose that functions* $\psi(x)$ *and* $\breve\psi(x)$ *satisfy Assumption* 3.1*. Set*

$$\sigma_n=\max_{|\alpha|\le n}\sup_{x\in U}|\psi^{(\alpha)}(x)-\breve\psi^{(\alpha)}(x)|.$$

Let function $\breve\Omega(x,\lambda)$ *be defined by equalities* (3.21) – (3.23) *where* $\breve y_s$ *is the solution of equation*

$$\breve y_s=x+\Phi_\xi(x,\lambda\breve\psi'(\breve y_s)). \tag{3.27}$$

Then for any multi-index α *there exists a number* $n(\alpha)$ *such that, uniformly in* λ*,*

$$|\Omega^{(\alpha)}(x,\lambda)-\breve\Omega^{(\alpha)}(x,\lambda)|\le C_\alpha\sigma_{n(\alpha)},\quad x\in U.$$

Let us start the proof with estimation of differences of the corresponding stationary points.

Lemma 3.9 *For all* α *and sufficiently large* λ*,*

$$|y_s^{(\alpha)}(x,\lambda)-\breve y_s^{(\alpha)}(x,\lambda)|\le C_\alpha\lambda^{-1+r}\sigma_{|\alpha|+1}. \tag{3.28}$$

Proof. – Comparing equations (3.5) and (3.27), we find that

$$|y_s-\breve y_s|=|\Phi_\xi(x,\lambda\psi'(y_s))-\Phi_\xi(x,\lambda\breve\psi'(\breve y_s))|$$
$$\le C\lambda^{-1+r}|\psi'(y_s)-\breve\psi'(\breve y_s)|\le C_1\lambda^{-1+r}\Big(|y_s-\breve y_s|+\sup_{x\in U}|\psi'(x)-\breve\psi'(x)|\Big).$$

This ensures estimate (3.28) for $\alpha=0$. Differentiating (3.5), (3.27) and using estimates (3.7) on $y_s^{(\alpha)}(x,\lambda)$ and $\breve y_s^{(\alpha)}(x,\lambda)$, we can derive (3.28) inductively for all α. $\square$

It follows from (3.28) that for any α

$$|\psi^{(\alpha)}(Y_s)-\breve\psi^{(\alpha)}(\breve Y_s)|\le\sup_{x\in U}|\psi^{(\alpha)}(x)-\breve\psi^{(\alpha)}(x)|+C_\alpha|y_s-\breve y_s|\le\tilde C_\alpha(\sigma_{|\alpha|}+\sigma_1\lambda^{-1+r}). \tag{3.29}$$

According to (3.23) and (3.25), (3.26), to estimate the difference $\Omega-\breve\Omega$, it suffices to use inequalities (3.28) for $\alpha=0$, (3.29) for $|\alpha|=1,2$ and

$$|\Phi_\xi(x,\lambda\psi'(Y_s))-\Phi_\xi(x,\lambda\breve\psi'(\breve Y_s))|\le C\lambda^{-1+r}|\psi'(Y_s)-\breve\psi'(\breve Y_s)|.$$

Differentiating (3.25), (3.26) we can estimate $\Omega^{(\alpha)} - \breve{\Omega}^{(\alpha)}$ quite in the same way. This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.8.

3.3. Recall that the phase function $G(x, \lambda)$ in (3.19) is defined by equations (3.4) and equalities (3.3), (3.9). However since we are interested only in the leading term of the asymptotics of the function $(Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon})(x)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$, we can neglect the part of $G(x, \lambda)$ which tends to zero as $\lambda \to \infty$. This allows us to obtain a more explicit expression for it. Thus, in the case $r < 1/2$, it follows from equality (3.24) and Proposition 3.6 that $G(x, \lambda)$ can be replaced by $\Phi(x, \lambda\psi'(x))$.

In the case $r \in [1/2, 2/3)$, we should keep the leading term of the asymptotics of the function $\Omega(x, \lambda)$. To find it, we use relations (3.5), (3.6) and, making an error of order $O(\lambda^{-1+r})$, replace in (3.25), (3.26) the functions $y_s - x$ and $\Phi_\xi(x, \lambda\psi'(Y_s(t)))$ by $\Phi_\xi(x, \lambda\psi'(x))$ and the function $\psi''(Y_s(t))$ by $\psi''(x)$. It follows that

$$\Omega(x, \lambda) = 2^{-1}\lambda^{2-2r} < \psi''(x)\Phi_\xi(x, \lambda\psi'(x)), \Phi_\xi(x, \lambda\psi'(x)) > + O(\lambda^{-1+r}). \tag{3.30}$$

Inserting this expression into (3.24), we obtain the two terms of the asymptotics of $G(x, \lambda)$ with an error of order $O(\lambda^{-2+3r})$, which tends to zero if $r < 2/3$ and hence is negligible. We should keep, of course, more terms in the asymptotics of $G(x, \lambda)$ as r increases.

4. THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM

Our study of the essential spectrum of PDO with oscillating symbols (or amplitudes) relies on a construction of Weyl (singular) sequences. We seek these sequences in the form (3.1) and proceed from Proposition 3.4. So our goal is to replace $G(x, \lambda)$ in (3.19) by $G(x_0, \lambda)$. This requires a special choice of the function $\psi(x) = \psi(x, \lambda)$ which will depend on the parameter λ.

4.1. We start with an auxiliary construction which is non-trivial for $d > 1$ only. Let $\mathbb{M}_n^{(d)}$ be the space of sequences $\Psi_n = \{\psi_\alpha\}$, $\psi_\alpha = \bar{\psi}_\alpha$, parametrized by multi-indices $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, $1 \leq i_k \leq d$, and symmetric with respect to all permutations of indices $i_1, \dots, i_n$. Thus, an element Ψ_n is determined by numbers ψ_α for $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ with $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq d$. In particular, the set $\mathbb{M}_2^{(d)}$ can be identified with symmetric $d \times d$-matrices. It is easy to check that the dimension $m_n^{(d)}$ of the space $\mathbb{M}_n^{(d)}$ equals

$$m_n^{(d)} = (n + d - 1)!(n!(d-1)!)^{-1}.$$

Below we omit the index "d".

Assume that real numbers $t_1, \dots, t_d$, such that

$$||t||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{d} t_k^2 \neq 0,$$

and an element $F_{n-1} = \{f_\beta\} \in \mathbb{M}_{n-1}$ are given. Our goal here is to construct a solution $\Psi_n = \{\psi_\alpha\} \in \mathbb{M}_n$ of the system

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d} \psi_{\beta,k} t_k = f_\beta, \quad \beta = (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}). \tag{4.1}$$

Of course, (4.1) contains m_{n-1} equations for m_n numbers ψ_α and $m_{n-1} < m_n$. It is convenient to introduce the (annihilation) operator $\mathbf{T}_n : \mathbb{M}_n \to \mathbb{M}_{n-1}$ by the equality

$$(\mathbf{T}_n \Psi_n)_\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \psi_{\beta,k} t_k, \tag{4.2}$$

so that (4.1) reads as $\mathbf{T}_n \Psi_n = F_{n-1}$.

Let us define also the (creation) operator $\mathbf{S}_n : \mathbb{M}_n \to \mathbb{M}_{n+1}$ by the equality

$$(\mathbf{S}_n \Psi_n)_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n+1}} = \psi_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} t_{i_{n+1}} + \psi_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1},i_{n+1}} t_{i_n} + \ldots + \psi_{i_{n+1},i_2,\ldots,i_n} t_{i_1}.$$

Clearly,

$$||\mathbf{T}_n|| \leq C_n ||t||, \quad ||\mathbf{S}_n|| \leq C_n ||t||.$$

An easy computation shows that

$$\mathbf{T}_{n+1}\mathbf{S}_n = ||t||^2 I_n + \mathbf{S}_{n-1}\mathbf{T}_n, \tag{4.3}$$

where I_n is the identity operator in $\mathbb{M}_n$. The relation between the creation and annihilation operators becomes even more simple if one introduces the Fock space

$$\mathbb{M} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_n, \quad \mathbb{M}_0 = \mathbb{R},$$

and set

$$\mathbf{T} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}_n, \quad \mathbf{T}_0 = \{0\}, \quad \mathbf{S} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{S}_n.$$

Then (4.3) for all n are equivalent to the relation

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{S} = ||t||^2 I + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{T}. \tag{4.4}$$

Using (4.4) we can easily solve system (4.1).

Proposition 4.1 *For any $F_{n-1} \in \mathbb{M}_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$, a solution of the equation $\mathbf{T}_n \Psi_n = F_{n-1}$ can be constructed by the formula*

$$\Psi_n = ||t||^{-2}\Big(\mathbf{S} - (2!)^{-1}||t||^{-2}\mathbf{S}^2\mathbf{T} + (3!)^{-1}||t||^{-4}\mathbf{S}^3\mathbf{T}^2 - \\ \ldots - (-1)^n (n!)^{-1}||t||^{-2n+2}\mathbf{S}^n\mathbf{T}^{n-1}\Big)F_{n-1} =: \mathbf{R}_{n-1}F_{n-1}. \tag{4.5}$$

In particular,

$$||\mathbf{R}_{n-1}|| \leq C_n ||t||^{-1}. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. – It follows from (4.4) that

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{S}^p = p||t||^2\mathbf{S}^{p-1} + \mathbf{S}^p\mathbf{T}$$

so that

$$(p!||t||^{2p})^{-1}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{S}^p\mathbf{T}^{p-1}F_{n-1} = ((p-1)!||t||^{2p-2})^{-1}\mathbf{S}^{p-1}\mathbf{T}^{p-1}F_{n-1} + (p!||t||^{2p})^{-1}\mathbf{S}^p\mathbf{T}^p F_{n-1}.$$

Therefore applying the operator $\mathbf{T}$ to equality (4.5) we see that all terms except the first, which is F_{n-1}, and the last, which is

$$-(-1)^n (n!||t||^{2n})^{-1}\mathbf{S}^n\mathbf{T}^n F_{n-1} = 0,$$

cancel each other. Hence $\mathbf{T}\Psi_n = F_{n-1}$. $\square$

4.2. Let the phase function $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^r$ be given. For a function $\psi(x,\lambda)$ depending on the parameter λ, define the function $G(x,\lambda)$ by formulas (3.3), (3.4) (where $\psi = \psi(x,\lambda)$) and (3.9). This definition is correct for sufficiently large λ as long as the family $\psi(\cdot,\lambda)$ satisfies Assumption 3.1.

Choose a point $x_0 \in X$ and some $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Our goal is to find functions $\psi(x,\lambda)$ such that

$$(\partial^\alpha G)(x_0,\lambda) = 0, \quad |\alpha| = 1,\ldots,n, \quad \partial = \partial_x. \tag{4.7}$$

We seek $\psi(x,\lambda)$ as a polynomial of degree $n+1$:

$$\psi(x,\lambda) = \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le n+1} (\alpha!)^{-1}\psi_\alpha(\lambda)(x-x_0)^\alpha, \tag{4.8}$$

where, of course, $\psi_\alpha(\lambda) = (\partial^\alpha\psi)(x_0,\lambda)$. Below we fix a vector $\xi_0 = \psi'(x_0) \neq 0$, which does not depend on λ. Let us denote by $\Psi_k = \Psi_k(\lambda)$, $k = 2,\ldots,n+1$, the collection of $\{\psi_\alpha(x_0,\lambda)\}$ for all $|\alpha| = k$.

By virtue of Proposition 3.6, the asymptotics of $(\partial^\alpha G)(x,\lambda)$ for large λ is determined by the term $\partial^\alpha\Phi(x,\lambda\psi'(x,\lambda))$. We need to single out the derivative of the highest order (which equals to $|\alpha|+1$) of this function. Denote by $\Phi_x^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)$ the derivative of $\Phi(x,\xi)$ in the variable x of order α.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^r$. Then for all α*

$$\partial^\alpha\Phi(x,\lambda\psi'(x,\lambda)) = \lambda\sum_{i=1}^d \Phi_{\xi_i}(x,\lambda\psi'(x,\lambda))(\partial_i\partial^\alpha\psi)(x) + \lambda^r F_\alpha(x,\lambda), \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$F_\alpha(x,\lambda) = \lambda^{-r}\Phi_x^{(\alpha)}(x,\lambda\psi'(x,\lambda)) + \sum_{2\le|\beta_l|\le|\alpha|, 1\le l\le|\alpha|} \lambda^{l-r} f_{\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_l}(x,\lambda\psi'(x,\lambda))\psi^{(\beta_1)}(x,\lambda)\ldots\psi^{(\beta_l)}(x,\lambda) \tag{4.10}$$

with functions $f_{\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_l} \in \mathcal{S}^{r-l}$.

A proof can be easily obtained by induction in the order of derivatives.

The following assertion is a direct consequence of (4.10).

Lemma 4.3 *If $\psi(x,\lambda)$ is defined by (4.8), then*

$$\lambda^{-r}F_\alpha(x_0,\lambda) = P_\alpha(\Psi_2(\lambda),\ldots,\Psi_{|\alpha|}(\lambda);\lambda),$$

where $P_\alpha(\Psi_2,\ldots,\Psi_{|\alpha|};\lambda)$ is a polynomial of $\Psi_2,\ldots,\Psi_{|\alpha|}$ with uniformly in λ bounded coefficients.

Recall that the function $\Omega(x,\lambda)$ is defined by equalities (3.21) - (3.23). For any λ, the derivative $\Omega^{(\alpha)}(x_0,\lambda)$ is determined by sequences $\Psi_k=\Psi_k(\lambda)$, that is

$$\Omega^{(\alpha)}(x_0,\lambda)=\Omega_\alpha(\Psi_2(\lambda),\dots,\Psi_{n+1}(\lambda);\lambda)$$

for some function Ω_α. The following result is a direct consequence of Propositions 3.6 and 3.8.

Lemma 4.4 *The functions* $\Omega_\alpha(\Psi_2,\dots,\Psi_{n+1};\lambda)$ *satisfy the estimates*

$$|\Omega_\alpha(\Psi_2,\dots,\Psi_{n+1};\lambda)|\le C \tag{4.11}$$

$$|\Omega_\alpha(\Psi_2',\dots,\Psi_{n+1}';\lambda)-\Omega_\alpha(\Psi_2'',\dots,\Psi_{n+1}'';\lambda)|\le C\sum_{k=2}^{n+1}|\Psi_k'-\Psi_k''| \tag{4.12}$$

for $\Psi_2,\dots,\Psi_{n+1}$ *from any compact subsets and sufficiently large* λ.

We say that a function Ω_α obeying (4.11), (4.12) satisfies the Lipschitz condition in $\Psi_2,\dots,\Psi_{n+1}$ uniformly in λ.

Comparing (3.24) and (4.9) and putting $x=x_0$ we can now rewrite equations (4.7) as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d}\psi_{\alpha,i}(\lambda)t_i(\lambda)+P_\alpha(\Psi_2(\lambda),\dots,\Psi_{|\alpha|}(\lambda);\lambda)+\lambda^{-1+r}\Omega_\alpha(\Psi_2(\lambda),\dots,\Psi_{n+1}(\lambda);\lambda)=0, \tag{4.13}$$

where $1\le|\alpha|\le n$ and

$$t_i(\lambda)=\lambda^{1-r}\Phi_{\xi_i}(x_0,\lambda\xi_0). \tag{4.14}$$

Below we often omit in notation the dependence of different functions on the parameter λ which is supposed to be large. We treat (4.13) as a system of equations for "vectors" $\Psi_2,\dots,\Psi_{n+1}$. Using definition (4.2) of the operator $\mathbf{T}_{k+1}=\mathbf{T}_{k+1}(\lambda)$ we write the set of equations (4.13) with $|\alpha|=k$ in the vector notation

$$\mathbf{T}_{k+1}(\lambda)\Psi_{k+1}+P_k(\Psi_2,\dots,\Psi_k;\lambda)+\lambda^{-1+r}\Omega_k(\Psi_2,\dots,\Psi_{n+1};\lambda)=0,\quad k=1,\dots,n. \tag{4.15}$$

We emphasize that $P_1(\lambda)=\lambda^{-r}\Phi_x(x_0,\lambda\xi_0)$ does not depend on sequences $\Psi_2,\dots,\Psi_{n+1}$. Thus, we have the following

Lemma 4.5 *System of equations* (4.7) *for polynomial* (4.8) *is equivalent to system* (4.15) *for vectors* $\Psi_2,\dots,\Psi_{n+1}$.

Suppose that x_0 and $\xi_0=\psi'(x_0)$ are chosen in such a way that

$$|\Phi_\xi(x_0,\lambda\xi_0)|\ge c\lambda^{r-1}. \tag{4.16}$$

Then the vector $t(\lambda)$ with components (4.14) satisfies $||t(\lambda)||\ge c>0$. Let the operator $\mathbf{R}_k=\mathbf{R}_k(\lambda)$ be defined by (4.5). Set $\Psi_{k+1}=\mathbf{R}_k\tilde{\Psi}_k$ and

$$\tilde{P}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1,\dots,\tilde{\Psi}_{k-1};\lambda)=-P_k(\mathbf{R}_1\tilde{\Psi}_1,\dots,\mathbf{R}_{k-1}\tilde{\Psi}_{k-1};\lambda),$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n; \lambda) = -\Omega_k(\mathbf{R}_1\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_n\tilde{\Psi}_n; \lambda).$$

By Proposition 4.1,

$$\mathbf{T}_{k+1}\mathbf{R}_k\tilde{\Psi}_k = \tilde{\Psi}_k$$

so that system (4.15) can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{\Psi}_k = \tilde{P}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_{k-1}; \lambda) + \lambda^{-1+r}\tilde{\Omega}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n; \lambda), \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \tag{4.17}$$

for $\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n$ who will depend of course on λ. Taking also into account estimate (4.6) and Lemmas 4.4, 4.3, we can formulate an intermediary result.

Lemma 4.6 *Functions $\tilde{\Psi}_k$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition in the variables $\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_{k-1}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n$, respectively, uniformly in λ. If system* (4.17) *is fulfilled for $\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n$, then system* (4.15) *is fulfilled for $\Psi_2 = \mathbf{R}_1\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \Psi_{n+1} = \mathbf{R}_n\tilde{\Psi}_n$.*

Remark that, up to a small term $\lambda^{-1+r}\tilde{\Omega}_k$, system (4.17) has a triangular structure, i.e. $\tilde{P}_k$ depends on $\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_{k-1}$ only. This allows us to solve system (4.17) by iterations starting from

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(0)} = \tilde{P}_1(\lambda), \quad \tilde{\Psi}_k^{(0)} = \tilde{P}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(0)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_{k-1}^{(0)}; \lambda), \quad k = 2, \dots, n.$$

Set

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p+1)} = \tilde{P}_1(\lambda) + \lambda^{-1+r}\tilde{\Omega}_1(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n^{(p)}; \lambda), \tag{4.18}$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p+1)} = \tilde{P}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p+1)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_{k-1}^{(p+1)}; \lambda) + \lambda^{-1+r}\tilde{\Omega}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n^{(p)}; \lambda), \quad k = 2, \dots, n. \tag{4.19}$$

Lemma 4.7 *For all $p \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$*

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p)} - \tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p-1)}| \leq C\lambda^{-p(1-r)}. \tag{4.20}$$

Proof.– Suppose that (4.20) holds for some $p = p_0$. Let us check it for $p = p_0 + 1$. Remark, first, that according to equality (4.18)

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p+1)} - \tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p)} = \lambda^{-1+r}\Big(\tilde{\Omega}_1(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n^{(p)}; \lambda) - \tilde{\Omega}_1(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p-1)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n^{(p-1)}; \lambda)\Big).$$

By Lemma 4.6, the function $\tilde{\Omega}_1$ is uniformly in λ Lipschitz continuous so that the right-hand side here is bounded by

$$C\lambda^{-1+r}\sum_{1\leq k\leq n}|\tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p)} - \tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p-1)}|. \tag{4.21}$$

This does not exceed $C\lambda^{-(p+1)(1-r)}$ by our inductive assumption. Supposing, further, that

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p+1)} - \tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p)}| \leq C\lambda^{-(p+1)(1-r)}, \tag{4.22}$$

we check it for $k = k_0 + 1$. According to equality (4.19),

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p+1)} - \tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p)} &= \Big(\tilde{P}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p+1)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_{k-1}^{(p+1)}, \lambda) - \tilde{P}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_{k-1}^{(p)}, \lambda)\Big)\\ &+\lambda^{-1+r}\Big(\tilde{\Omega}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n^{(p)}, \lambda) - \tilde{\Omega}_k(\tilde{\Psi}_1^{(p-1)}, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n^{(p-1)}, \lambda)\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Since, again by Lemma 4.6, the function $\tilde{P}_k$ is uniformly in λ Lipschitz continuous, the first term in the right-hand side is estimated by

$$C\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}|\tilde{\Psi}_l^{(p+1)}-\tilde{\Psi}_l^{(p)}|\leq C_1\lambda^{-(p+1)(1-r)}.$$

Here we used conjecture (4.22). The second term in the right-hand side of (4.23) is estimated, as before, by (4.21). This proves (4.22) for $k=k_0+1$ and, consequently, (4.20) for $p=p_0+1$. The same arguments show, of course, also that (4.20) is fulfilled for $p=1$. $\Box$

It follows from (4.20) that sequences $\tilde{\Psi}_k^{(p)}$, $1\leq k\leq n$, have finite limits $\tilde{\Psi}_k$ as $p\to\infty$. Passing to these limits in relations (4.19) we see that $\tilde{\Psi}_1,\dots,\tilde{\Psi}_n$ satisfy system (4.17). By Lemma 4.6, this implies that (4.15) is satisfied for $\Psi_2=\mathbf{R}_1\tilde{\Psi}_1,\dots,\Psi_{n+1}=\mathbf{R}_n\tilde{\Psi}_n$. Thus, we arrive at the following assertion.

Proposition 4.8 *Suppose that condition* (4.16) *is satisfied. Then for any $n\geq 2$ and sufficiently large λ, a solution $\Psi_2(\lambda),\dots,\Psi_{n+1}(\lambda)$ of system* (4.13) *exists. For the corresponding function* (4.8) *and function $G(x,\lambda)$ defined by* (3.3), (3.4) *and* (3.9), *equations* (4.7) *are fulfilled.*

4.3. Suppose that equality (1.3) is satisfied with $b\in\mathcal{S}^0$. Let functions $u_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$ be defined by equality (3.1). According to Proposition 3.4, the asymptotics of $Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$ as $\lambda\to\infty$, $\varepsilon\lambda^{1-r}\to\infty$ is given by relation (3.19). Let $\psi(x,\lambda)$ be polynomial (4.8) with coefficients $\psi_\alpha(\lambda)$ satisfying (4.13) for $1\leq|\alpha|\leq n$ so that equalities (4.7) are fulfilled. Then it follows from Corollary 3.7 that

$$|G(x,\lambda)-G(x_0,\lambda)|\leq C\lambda^r|x-x_0|^{n+1}. \tag{4.24}$$

The right-hand side here tends to zero if $\lambda^r\varepsilon^{n+1}\to 0$. This is compatible with the condition $\lambda^{-1+r}\varepsilon^{-1}\to 0$ if

$$n+1>r(1-r)^{-1}. \tag{4.25}$$

Combining (3.19) with (3.20) and (4.24), we obtain

Proposition 4.9 *Let functions $u_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$ be defined by relations* (3.1), (4.8) *and* (4.13). *Then*

$$||Au_{\lambda,\varepsilon}-e^{iG(x_0,\lambda)}b(x_0,\lambda\xi_0)u_{\lambda,\varepsilon}||\to 0 \tag{4.26}$$

as $\lambda\to\infty$ and $\lambda^{-1+r}\varepsilon^{-1}\to 0,\lambda^r\varepsilon^{n+1}\to 0$.

Suppose additionally that

$$|\Phi(x_0,\lambda\xi_0)|\geq c\lambda^r,\quad c>0. \tag{4.27}$$

Equality (3.24) and Proposition 3.6 imply that the same inequality is true for $G(x_0,\lambda)$ and, in particular,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}G(x_0,\lambda)=\infty\quad\text{or}\quad\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}G(x_0,\lambda)=-\infty.$$

Since $G(x_0, \lambda)$ is a continuous function of λ, for any $\mu_1 = e^{i\theta}$, we can find a sequence $\lambda_p \to \infty$ such that $G(x_0, \lambda_p) = \theta + 2\pi p$ or $G(x_0, \lambda_p) = \theta - 2\pi p$. Then $e^{iG(x_0,\lambda_p)} = \mu_1$. Under assumption (4.25) we can set

$$\varepsilon_p = \lambda_p^{-s} \quad \text{for} \quad (n+1)^{-1} r < s < 1 - r$$

so that $\lambda_p^{-1+r} \varepsilon_p^{-1} \to 0$, $\lambda_p^r \varepsilon_p^{n+1} \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$. If condition (1.8) holds, then it follows from (4.26) that for functions $u_p = u_{\lambda_p, \varepsilon_p}$

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} ||Au_p - \mu u_p|| = 0, \quad \mu = \mu_1 \mu_0,$$

and hence μ belongs to the spectrum of A. Let us formulate the result obtained.

Theorem 4.10 *Let the symbol $a(x, \xi)$ of a PDO (1.1) be compactly supported in x and satisfy conditions (1.3) with $m = 0$. Suppose that for some point $x_0 \in X, \xi_0 \neq 0$ conditions (1.8), (4.16) and (4.27) are satisfied. Then the spectrum of the operator A in the space $L_2(X)$ covers the circle $\mathbb{T}_\kappa$, where $\kappa = |\mu_0|$.*

Combining this result with Theorem 2.15, we can generalize Theorem 4.10 to PDO defined by oscillating amplitudes.

Theorem 4.11 *Let the amplitude $a(x, x', \xi)$ of a PDO (1.2) be compactly supported in x and x' and satisfy conditions (1.4) with $m = 0$. Suppose that*

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \mathbf{b}(x_0, x_0, \lambda\xi_0) = \mu_0 \neq 0, \quad |\Theta(x_0, x_0, \lambda\xi_0)| \geq c\lambda^r, \quad |\Theta_\xi(x_0, x_0, \lambda\xi_0)| \geq c\lambda^{r-1}$$

for some point $x_0 \in X, \xi_0 \neq 0$ and $c > 0$. Then the spectrum of the operator A in the space $L_2(X)$ covers the circle $\mathbb{T}_\kappa$, where $\kappa = |\mu_0|$.

Of course, assumptions of Theorems 4.10 and 4.11 are fulfilled for asymptotically homogeneous in ξ functions $\Phi(x, \xi)$ and $\Theta(x, x', \xi)$.

Remark If K is a compact operator, then, under the assumptions of Theorems 4.10 and 4.11, the spectrum of the operator $A + K$ covers the circle $\mathbb{T}_\kappa$. This is a general result on compact perturbations but it follows also from the proofs of these theorems because the constructed sequence u_p converges weakly to zero as $p \to \infty$.

4.4. Let us, finally, discuss particular cases $r < 1/2$ and, more generally, $r < 2/3$. If $r < 1/2$, then (4.25) holds for $n = 0$ and $\psi(x)$ can be defined by formula (1.9). In this case $G(x_0, \lambda) = \Phi(x_0, \lambda\xi_0)$ in (4.26) and we can omit condition (4.16) in Theorem 4.10 (or a similar condition on Θ_ξ in Theorem 4.11).

If $r \in [1/2, 2/3)$, then (4.25) requires $n = 1$ so that

$$\psi(x, \lambda) =< \xi_0, x - x_0 > +2^{-1} < \Psi_2(\lambda)(x - x_0), x - x_0 >, \tag{4.28}$$

where $\Psi_2(\lambda)$ is defined by equations (4.7) for $n = 1$. Actually, $\Psi_2(\lambda)$ can be chosen as a simple but approximate solution of these equations. According to Proposition 3.6,

$$G(x, \lambda) = G(x_0, \lambda)+ < G'(x_0, \lambda), x - x_0 > +O(\lambda^r |x - x_0|^2) \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$G'(x_0,\lambda)=G_1(\lambda)+O(\lambda^{-1+2r}),\quad \text{where}\quad G_1(\lambda)=\Phi_x(x_0,\lambda\xi_0)+\lambda\Psi_2(\lambda)\Phi_\xi(x_0,\lambda\xi_0). \tag{4.30}$$

Suppose now that $G_1(\lambda)=0$ and choose $\varepsilon=\lambda^{-s}$ with

$$\max\{2r-1,r/2\}<s<1-r,$$

which is possible if $r<2/3$. Then, by virtue of (4.29), (4.30), $G(x,\lambda)$ can be replaced by $G(x_0,\lambda)$ in (3.19) so that (4.26) holds. Thus, if $\Psi_2(\lambda)$ satisfies the equation $G_1(\lambda)=0$, then $\psi(x,\lambda)$ in (3.1) can be defined by equality (4.28). In this case (4.26) holds with $G(x_0,\lambda)$ given by formulas (3.24), (3.30) where $x=x_0$.

5. INTEGRAL KERNELS

We treat here PDO as integral operators in one of curvilinear coordinates, whose kernels are PDO in remaining coordinates. We distinguish a class of operators with continuous kernels so that, in particular, diagonal values of kernels are well-defined. In this section we consider PDO $A: C_0^\infty(X)\to C^\infty(X)$ defined by formula (1.2). We suppose that the amplitude $\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}(X\times X\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some m and $\rho>0$, $\delta<1$ but do not make any special assumptions of the type (1.4).

5.1. Below we need a formula of change of variables for PDO defined by their amplitudes. For a diffeomorphism $\kappa: X\to Y$, define the operator F_κ by the relation

$$(F_\kappa u)(y)=|\det\kappa'(x)|^{-1/2}u(x),\quad \text{where}\quad y=\kappa(x). \tag{5.1}$$

In view of our applications we introduced the factor $|\det\kappa'(x)|^{-1/2}$ so that $F_\kappa: L_2(X)\to L_2(Y)$ is a unitary operator. Let $G(x,x')$ be a C^∞-operator-function satisfying

$$\kappa(x)-\kappa(x')=G(x,x')(x-x'). \tag{5.2}$$

Then $G(x,x)=\kappa'(x)$ and $\det G(x,x')\neq 0$ in some neighbourhood Ω of the diagonal $x=x'$. One of solutions of (5.2) is given by the equality

$$G(x,x')=\int_0^1\kappa'(x+t(x'-x))dt. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\chi\in C_0^\infty(X\times X)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}\chi\in\Omega$, $\chi(x,x')=1$ in a neighbourhood of the diagonal and $\chi_0=1-\chi$. Then

$$(Au,v)_{L_2(X)}=(2\pi)^{-d}\int_X\int_X\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{i<x-x',\xi>}\mathbf{a}(x,x',\xi)u(x')\overline{v(x)}dxdx'd\xi \tag{5.4}$$

is a sum of the two integrals corresponding to $\chi_0\mathbf{a}$ and $\chi\mathbf{a}$. The first term is $(K_0u,v)_{L_2(X)}$, where K_0 is an integral operator with C^∞-kernel which equals to zero in a neighbourhood of the diagonal. In the second integral we change the variables

$$x=\kappa^{-1}(y),\quad x'=\kappa^{-1}(y'),\quad \xi={}^tG(x,x')\eta \tag{5.5}$$

and set $\tilde{u} = F_\kappa u$, $\tilde{v} = F_\kappa v$. According to (5.2),

$$< x - x', \xi > = < \kappa(x) - \kappa(x'), \eta > \tag{5.6}$$

so that

$$(Au, v)_{L_2(X)} = (K_0 u, v)_{L_2(X)} + (2\pi)^{-d} \int_Y \int_Y \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i<y-y',\eta>} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(y, y', \eta) \tilde{u}(y') \overline{\tilde{v}(y)} dy dy' d\eta,$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(y, y', \eta) = \alpha(x, x') \mathbf{a}(x, x', \xi) \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$\alpha(x, x') = |\det \kappa'(x) \ \det \kappa'(x')|^{-1/2} \, |\det G(x, x')| \chi(x, x'). \tag{5.8}$$

Function (5.7) belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\tilde{\delta},\tilde{\delta}}(Y \times Y \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\tilde{\delta} = \max\{\delta, 1 - \rho\}$. Thus, we arrive at the following

Proposition 5.1 *Suppose that* $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$*, where* $\rho > 0$*,* $\delta < 1$ *and* $\rho + \delta \geq 1$*. For a diffeomorphism* $\kappa : X \to Y$*, define the operator* $F = F_\kappa$ *by equality* (5.1) *and let* $G(x, x')$ *satisfy equation* (5.2) (*for example,* $G = G_\kappa$ *can be defined by* (5.3))*. Let* $\tilde{A}$ *be the PDO with the amplitude* $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(y, y', \eta)$ *determined by equalities* (5.5), (5.7) *and* (5.8) (*so that, in particular,* $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$)*. Then* $FAF^{-1} - \tilde{A}$ *is an integral operator with* C^∞*-kernel which equals to zero in a neighbourhood of the diagonal* $y = y'$*.*

5.2. Let us give an abstract definition of an integral operator in the space $\mathsf{H} = L_2(\Lambda; \mathcal{N})$ of vector-functions $u(\lambda)$ defined on an interval $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ and taking values in an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{N}$. Suppose that a set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{N}$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}$ and introduce the space $\mathcal{G} = C_0^\infty(\Lambda; \mathcal{D})$ of infinitely differentiable compactly supported vector-functions with values in $\mathcal{D}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}$ is dense in H. Consider an operator $A : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ where $\mathcal{G}'$ is the dual space to $\mathcal{G}$. Below we write $(w, v)_{\mathsf{H}}$ for elements $v \in \mathcal{G}$, $w \in \mathcal{G}'$ so that, strictly speaking, $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathsf{H}}$ is the duality symbol.

Definition 5.2 *Let* $A^\natural(\mu, \nu) : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$ *be a continuous operator-function of variables* $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$*. We say that* $A^\natural(\mu, \nu)$ *is kernel of an operator* A *if for any* $u, v \in \mathcal{G}$

$$(Au, v)_{\mathsf{H}} = \int_\Lambda \int_\Lambda (A^\natural(\mu, \nu) u(\nu), v(\mu))_{\mathcal{N}} d\mu d\nu. \tag{5.9}$$

The bilinear form of the operator $A^\natural(\mu, \nu)$ can be constructed in terms of A. Indeed, let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $\int_{-\infty}^\infty g(t) dt = 1$, $\psi_\mu \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda)$, $\psi_\mu(\lambda) = 1$ in a neighbourhood of the point μ and

$$\psi_{\varepsilon,\mu}(\lambda) = \varepsilon^{-1} g(\varepsilon^{-1}(\lambda - \mu)) \psi_\mu(\lambda).$$

Then for any $u, v \in \mathcal{D}$ the double limit exists

$$\lim_{\varepsilon,\eta \to 0} (A(\psi_{\varepsilon,\nu} u), \psi_{\eta,\mu} v)_{\mathsf{H}} = (A^\natural(\mu, \nu) u, v)_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Thus kernel $A^\natural(\mu, \nu)$ of an operator A is necessarily unique. Of course, only operators from a rather restricted class may have continuous kernels. For example, kernel of a

Hilbert-Schmidt operator is not, in general continuous. On the other hand, an unbounded operator (even defined as a mapping from $\mathcal{G}$ into $\mathcal{G}'$ only) may have continuous kernel in the sense of Definition 5.2. We point out that, for an operator with continuous kernel, diagonal values $A^\natural(\lambda,\lambda):\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{D}'$ are well defined and continuous in $\lambda\in\Lambda$.

For a PDO $A: C_0^\infty(X)\to C^\infty(X)$, we consider its kernel in a direct integral constructed with respect to the operator of multiplication by some smooth function $P(x)$. Suppose first that the set $X\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ has a special structure. Let $x=(x_0,x_d)$ where $x_0=(x_1,\dots,x_{d-1})$, and define the mapping $\kappa: X\to Y$ by the equalities

$$y_0=x_0,\quad y_d=P(x). \tag{5.10}$$

Assume that κ is a diffeomorphism of X on a cylinder $Y=\Sigma\times\Lambda$ where $\Sigma\subset\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is an open set and $\Lambda\subset\mathbb{R}$ is an interval. For diffeomorphism (5.10), function (5.1) equals

$$\tilde{u}(y)=|P_d(x)|^{-1/2}u(x),\quad P_d(x)=\partial P(x)/\partial x_d\neq 0. \tag{5.11}$$

We consider $L_2(Y)$ as $L_2(\Lambda;L_2(\Sigma))=:\mathsf{H}$. The operator $\tilde{A}=F_\kappa A F_\kappa^{-1}$ acts in H as multiplication by the independent variable $\lambda=y_d$. Let us apply Definition 5.2 to the operator $\tilde{A}$ in the space H. Thus we treat $\tilde{A}$ as an integral operator in the variable y_d, and its kernel is an operator acting on functions of the variable y_0. Set $\mathcal{D}=C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$. If $\tilde{A}$ has continuous kernel $\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu):\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{D}'$ in H, then for any $u,v\in C_0^\infty(X)$

$$(Au,v)_{L_2(X)}=\int_\Lambda\int_\Lambda(\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)\tilde{u}(\nu),\tilde{v}(\mu))_{L_2(\Sigma)}d\mu d\nu. \tag{5.12}$$

This equality makes also sense if

$$X\subset\kappa^{-1}(\Sigma\times\Lambda). \tag{5.13}$$

Now, by definition, we accept $\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$ for kernel of the PDO A in the direct integral associated with the function $P(x)$. This definition depends of course in an obvious way on choice of the diffeomorphism κ.

In the case of PDO, assumption (5.13) is inessential. Indeed, for an arbitrary open set X and $u,v\in C_0^\infty(X)$ consider a partition of unity $\varphi_n\in C_0^\infty(X)$ such that $\Sigma_{n=1}^N\varphi_n(x)=1$ for $x\in\operatorname{supp}u\cap\operatorname{supp}v$. Since kernel $k(x,x')$ (see (2.1)) of a PDO (1.2) is a C^∞ function outside of the diagonal, $\varphi_nA\varphi_m$ is an integral (in all variables) operator and its kernel is a smooth function provided $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_n\cap\operatorname{supp}\varphi_m=\emptyset$. In particular, we note that a PDO A has automatically a smooth kernel $\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$ as long as $\mu\neq\nu$. If $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_n\cap\operatorname{supp}\varphi_m\neq\emptyset$, then choosing a sufficiently fine partition of unity we may achieve that

$$\operatorname{supp}\varphi_n\cup\operatorname{supp}\varphi_m\subset\kappa^{-1}(\Sigma_{n,m}\times\Lambda_{n,m})$$

for diffeomorphism (5.10) and suitable choices of a coordinate system (x_0,x_d) and sets $\Sigma_{n,m}$, $\Lambda_{n,m}$.

Diagonalization of the operator P requires that $\nabla P(x)\neq 0$ for $x\in X$. Practically, for the construction of kernel of a PDO $A: C_0^\infty(X)\to C^\infty(X)$ it suffices to determine it in a neighbourhood of any point $x^{(0)}\in X$. Let a unit vector $\mathbf{n}$ be such that

$< \mathbf{n}, \nabla P(x^{(0)}) > \neq 0$. Set $x_d =< x, \mathbf{n} >$ and let x_0 be the orthogonal projection of x on the hyperplane orthogonal to $\mathbf{n}$. This defines an admissible coordinate system (x_0, x_d) in a neighbourhood of the point $x^{(0)}$.

5.3. In this subsection we set $Y = \Sigma \times \Lambda$ and consider a PDO $\tilde{A} : C_0^\infty(Y) \to C^\infty(Y)$ with amplitude $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(y, y', \eta)$. We shall construct kernel $\tilde{A}(y_d, y_d') : C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \to C^\infty(\Sigma)$ of $\tilde{A}$ in the space $L_2(\Lambda; L_2(\Sigma))$ under the assumption that $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ vanishes in some neighbourhood (conical in the variable η) of the conormal bundle to the hyperplane $y_d = const$.

More precisely, assume that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(y, y', \eta) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |y - y'| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |\eta_d| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)|\eta|. \tag{5.14}$$

Reducing, if necessary, Σ and Λ we may suppose that (5.14) holds for all $y, y' \in Y$ (not only for $|y - y'| \leq \varepsilon$). Let us check that $\tilde{A}^\natural(y_d, y_d') : C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \to C^\infty(\Sigma)$ is the PDO with amplitude

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^\natural(y_0, y_0', \eta_0; y_d, y_d') = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(y_0, y_d, y_0', y_d', \eta_0, \eta_d) e^{i<y_d - y_d', \eta_d>} d\eta_d. \tag{5.15}$$

Remark, first, that, by assumption (5.14), this integral is actually taken over a finite interval $(-c|\eta_0|, c|\eta_0|)$ where $c = c(\varepsilon) < \infty$. Therefore,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^\natural(y_d, y_d') \in \mathcal{S}^{m+1}_{\rho,\delta,\delta}(\Sigma \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}) \tag{5.16}$$

and the corresponding semi-norms are bounded uniformly in y_d, y_d' from any compact subinterval of Λ. Moreover, all derivatives

$$(\partial^\alpha_{\eta_0} \partial^\beta_{y_0} \partial^{\beta'}_{y_0'} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}^\natural)(y_0, y_0', \eta_0; y_d, y_d')$$

are continuous with respect to y_d, y_d' uniformly in $y_0, y_0' \in K_0$ and η_0, $|\eta_0| \leq R_0$, for any compact $K_0 \subset \Sigma$ and any $R_0 > 0$.

By definition of the PDO $\tilde{A}^\natural(y_d, y_d')$,

$$(\tilde{A}^\natural(y_d, y_d') u(y_d'), v(y_d))_{L_2(\Sigma)}$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-d+1} \int_\Sigma \int_\Sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} e^{i<y_0 - y_0', \eta_0>} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}^\natural(y_0, y_0', \eta_0; y_d, y_d') u(y_0', y_d') \overline{v(y_0, y_d)} dy_0 dy_0' d\eta_0,$$

where the amplitude $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^\natural$ is given by (5.15). Comparing this equality with (5.4) we arrive at relation (5.9) for the operator $\tilde{A}$.

Let us summarize the results obtained.

Theorem 5.3 *Suppose that* $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ *for some* m *and* $\rho > 0$, $\delta < 1$ *and that condition* (5.14) *is satisfied. Then the PDO* $\tilde{A}$ *with amplitude* $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ *has continuous kernel* $\tilde{A}^\natural(y_d, y_d')$ *which is also a PDO with amplitude* (5.15) *obeying* (5.16). *In particular,* $\tilde{A}^\natural(y_d, y_d)$ *is the PDO with amplitude*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^\natural(y_0, y_0', \eta_0; y_d, y_d) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(y_0, y_d, y_0', y_d, \eta_0, \eta_d) d\eta_d.$$

5.4. Let us now consider integral kernels in the direct integral constructed with respect to the operator of multiplication by some smooth function $P(x)$. Suppose that $\nabla P(x) \neq 0$ in X. For the construction of kernel of a PDO A, we assume that its amplitude $\mathbf{a}(x, x', \xi)$ equals to zero in some neighbourhood (conical in the variable ξ) of the conormal bundle to surfaces S_λ, that is there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{a}(x, x', \xi) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |x - x'| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad | < \xi, \nabla P(x) > | \geq (1 - \epsilon)|\xi| \, |\nabla P(x)|. \quad (5.17)$$

The variable x in the second condition can of course be replaced by x'. Moreover, reducing, if necessary, X we may suppose that (5.17) holds for all $x, x' \in X$ (not only for $|x - x'| \leq \varepsilon$). We may also suppose (see the discussion at the end of subsection 5.2) that, for the diffeomorphism κ defined by (5.10), condition (5.13) holds. Then equation of the surface $S_\lambda = \{x \in X : P(x) = \lambda\}$ can be written as $x_d = p_\lambda(x_0)$.

Le the diffeomorphism κ be defined by equalities (5.10). Then

$${}^t\kappa'(x)\eta = \eta_0 + (\nabla P)(x)\eta_d, \quad \eta = (\eta_0, \eta_d).$$

For diffeomorphism (5.10), a solution of equation (5.2) reduces to construction of a C^∞ vector-function $\mathbf{q}(x, x')$ satisfying

$$< x - x', \mathbf{q}(x, x') >= P(x) - P(x').$$

Then equation (5.6) is fulfilled if

$$\xi_0 = \eta_0 + \mathbf{q}_0(x, x')\eta_d, \quad \xi_d = q_d(x, x')\eta_d, \quad \mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{q}_0, q_d). \quad (5.18)$$

Necessarily, $\mathbf{q}(x, x) = (\nabla P)(x)$ and (cf. (5.3)) this function can be constructed by the formula

$$\mathbf{q}(x, x') = \int_0^1 (\nabla P)(x + t(x' - x))dt.$$

Thus $\tilde{A}$ is the PDO with amplitude (5.7) where ξ is related to η by (5.18) and

$$\alpha(x, x') = |P_d(x)P_d(x')|^{-1/2}|q_d(x, x')|.$$

Since $\mathbf{q}(x, x) = (\nabla P)(x)$, assumption (5.17) implies that the amplitude $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(y, y', \eta)$ satisfies in $Y = \Sigma \times \Lambda$ assumption (5.14). Thus, by Theorem 5.3, the PDO $\tilde{A}$ with this amplitude has continuous kernel $\tilde{A}^\natural(y_d, y'_d)$. The operator $\tilde{A}^\natural(y_d, y'_d)$ is PDO with the amplitude (5.15). So kernel of the operator A is also a PDO with the amplitude which can be constructed by the formula

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^\natural(y_0, y'_0, \eta_0; \mu, \nu) = (2\pi)^{-1}\alpha(x(\mu), x'(\nu))$$
$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}(x(\mu), x'(\nu), \eta_0 + \mathbf{q}(x(\mu), x'(\nu))\eta_d)e^{i(\mu-\nu)\eta_d}d\eta_d, \quad (5.19)$$

where

$$x(\mu) = (y_0, p_\mu(y_0)), \quad x'(\nu) = (y'_0, p_\nu(y'_0)).$$

Let us formulate the result obtained.

Theorem 5.4 *Suppose that* $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta,\delta}$ *for some* m *and* $\rho > 0$, $\delta < 1$, $\rho + \delta \geq 1$ *and that condition* (5.17) *is satisfied. Then the PDO* A *has continuous kernel* $\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$ *in the direct integral associated with the function* $P(x)$. *The operator* $\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$ *is the PDO with amplitude* (5.19) *obeying*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^\natural(\mu,\nu) \in \mathcal{S}^{m+1}_{\rho,\delta,\delta}(\Sigma \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}).$$

In particular, $A^\natural(\lambda,\lambda)$ *is the PDO with amplitude*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^\natural(y_0, y_0', \eta_0; \lambda, \lambda) = (2\pi)^{-1}\alpha(x(\lambda), x'(\lambda)) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}(x(\lambda), x'(\lambda), \eta_0 + \mathbf{q}(x(\lambda), x'(\lambda))\eta_d) d\eta_d. \tag{5.20}$$

Corollary 5.5 *Let* $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(t)dt = 1$ *and*

$$\psi_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(x) = \varepsilon^{-1}\psi(\varepsilon^{-1}(P(x) - \lambda)).$$

Then for any $u, v \in C_0^\infty(X)$ *the double limit exists*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon,\eta\to 0} (A\psi_{\varepsilon,\nu}u, \psi_{\eta,\mu}v)_{L_2(X)} = (\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)\tilde{u}(\nu), \tilde{v}(\mu))_{L_2(\Sigma)} \tag{5.21}$$

with functions $\tilde{u}(\nu), \tilde{v}(\mu)$ *defined by* (5.11).

Equality (5.21) allows us to construct the bilinear form of the kernel $\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$ in terms of the PDO A.

5.5. Let us consider a particular case $P(x) = x^2$ which is necessary for applications to the scattering matrix for the Schrödinger operator. Define a unitary transformation W of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$ of vector-functions $\hat{u}(\lambda;\omega)$ by the equality

$$\hat{u}(\lambda;\omega) = (Wu)(\lambda;\omega) = 2^{-1/2}\lambda^{(d-2)/4}u(\lambda^{1/2}\omega), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+,\ \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}. \tag{5.22}$$

Then WPW^{-1} acts as multiplication by the variable λ in $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$. If the operator WAW^{-1} has continuous kernel $\hat{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu) : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then, by Definition 5.2,

$$(Au, v)_{L_2(X)} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\hat{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)\hat{u}(\nu), \hat{v}(\mu))_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} d\mu d\nu. \tag{5.23}$$

To construct kernel $\hat{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$ of a PDO A for $\mu,\nu \in (\alpha,\beta)$ we assume that for some $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbf{a}(x, x', \xi) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |x - x'| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |<\xi, x>| \geq (1-\epsilon)|\xi|\,|x| \tag{5.24}$$

(and $|x|^2, |x'|^2 \in (\alpha,\beta)$). Moreover, we may suppose that $\mathbf{a}(x,x',\xi) = 0$ if either x or x' do not belong to a neighbourhood of a point $x^{(0)} = \lambda_0^{1/2}\omega_0$ where $\lambda_0 \in (\alpha,\beta)$, $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Therefore condition (5.13) is satisfied for a suitable choice of coordinates (x_0, x_d) and diffeomorphism (5.10). Clearly, assumption (5.24) coincides with (5.17) for the case $P(x) = x^2$. So, according to Theorem 5.4, the PDO A has continuous kernel $\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$. The operator $\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$ is also a PDO with amplitude (5.19) where

$$\mathbf{q}(x,x') = x + x', \quad \alpha(x,x') = 2^{-1}|x_d x_d'|^{-1/2}\,|x_d + x_d'|. \tag{5.25}$$

This determines kernel $\hat{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$. Indeed, comparing equalities (5.11) and (5.22), we see that $\tilde{u}(\lambda) = Z(\lambda)\hat{u}(\lambda)$, where

$$(Z(\lambda)f)(y_0) = \lambda^{-(d-1)/2}(\lambda - y_0^2)^{1/4} f(\lambda^{-1/2}y_0, (1-\lambda^{-1}y_0^2)^{1/2}).$$

Now it follows from (5.12) that

$$\hat{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu) = Z^*(\mu)\tilde{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)Z(\nu)$$

satisfies equality (5.23). Thus, under assumption (5.24), the PDO A has continuous kernel $\hat{A}^\natural(\mu,\nu)$. In particular, its diagonal value $\hat{A}^\natural(\lambda,\lambda)$ is the PDO on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ with the amplitude defined by (5.20) where $\mathbf{q}$ and α are given by (5.25).

REFERENCES

[1] M. Sh. Birman and D. R. Yafaev, Asymptotics of the spectrum of the scattering matrix, J. Soviet Math. **25**, No. 1 (1984), 793-814.

[2] A. Calderon, R. Vaillancourt, A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **69**, No. 5 (1972), 1185-1187.

[3] L. Hörmander, On the L^2 continuity of pseudo-differential operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **24** (1971), 529-535.

[4] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators* I, Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[5] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators* III, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[6] H. Kitada, Micro-local resolvent estimates for 2-body Schrödinger operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan **39** (1987), 455-476.

[7] N. Lerner, D. Yafaev, Trace theorems for pseudo-differential operators, J. Analyse Math. **74** (1998).

[8] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[9] D. Yafaev, The scattering amplitude for the Schrödinger equation with a long-range potential, Comm. Math. Phys. **191** (1998), 183-218.